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Abstract

The research area of current PhD thesis is the analysis of time periodic Navier-Stokes equations in domains with cylindrical outlets to infinity (system of pipes). The objects of investigation is so called non-stationary Poiseuille solution in the straight cylinder and Navier-Stokes equations in domains with cylindrical outlets to infinity. First of all, in this thesis is proved the existence and uniqueness of the non-stationary Poiseuille solution in Hölder spaces. Then the existence and uniqueness of the time periodic Stokes problem obtained in weighted Sobolev spaces. Finally, the existence of time periodic solutions to Navier-Stokes problem in weighted Sobolev spaces is proved. The weight-function describes asymptotical behavior of solutions, then $|x| \to \infty$. The obtained results are theoretical. However, they could be used to solve practical problems of fluid dynamics.
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Introduction

Topicality of the problem

The research area of this work is the analysis of time periodic Navier–Stokes equations in domains with cylindrical outlets to infinity. In this thesis the existence and uniqueness of the non-stationary Poiseuille solution to the Navier–Stokes equations is proved. Time periodic problems for Stokes and Navier–Stokes equations are studied in domains with cylindrical outlets to infinity. The existence of the solutions to these problems is proved in weighted function spaces.

Actuality

Mathematical models of fluid dynamics are systems of linear and nonlinear partial differential equations, known as Navier–Stokes equations. The rigorous mathematical analysis of Navier-Stokes equations started at the beginning of the XX century from works of the famous French mathematician J. Leray. This analysis consists of studies concerning the correct formulations of initial boundary value problems for Navier-Stokes equations, proofs of the existence and uniqueness of solutions in different functional spaces, investigation of solutions regularity, construction of asymptotics, etc. Such questions have been studied in many papers and monographs [16], [27], [85].
The existence theory developed in literature mainly deals with the flows of viscous incompressible fluids in domains with compact boundaries (i. e. in bounded and exterior domains). Although some of these results do not depend on the shape of the boundary, many problems of scientific and practical interest (e. g. water or petroleum flow in pipes system or blood flow in veins) related to flows of viscous incompressible fluid in domains with noncompact boundaries were unsolved. Therefore, it is not surprising that during the last 30 years the special attention was given to problems in unbounded domains. However, during this time only stationary problems were exhaustively investigated, while not much is known about the non-stationary ones. Even the existence of a non-stationary analog of the Poiseuille flow in a straight cylinder was not proved (the stationary Poiseuille solution was constructed as far back as in XIX century). In this thesis we prove the existence of the non-stationary Poiseuille solution in a straight cylinder and study the time–periodic solutions of Stokes and Navier–Stokes equations in a domains with cylindrical outlets to infinity (i. e. in a system of pipes).

Aims and problems

The main aim of the dissertation is the analysis of the time periodic Navier-Stokes equations in domains with cylindrical outlets to infinity. To achieve this goal, we have to solve following problems:

1. To investigate the existence and uniqueness questions for the Poiseuille flow of an incompressible viscous fluid in an infinite cylinder.

2. To investigate the existence and uniqueness questions of a time periodic Stokes problem with cylindrical outlets to infinity.

3. To investigate the existence questions of a time periodic Navier-Stokes problem for two and three dimensional domains with cylindrical outlets to infinity.

Novelty

All results obtained in the dissertation are new. The existence of the non-stationary Poiseuille solution in Hölder spaces was not know before. The time periodic solutions to Stokes and Navier–Stokes equations in domains with cylindrical outlets to infinity are investigated for the first time.
Defended propositions

1. The existence and uniqueness of solutions for the Poiseuille flow of an incompressible viscous fluid in an infinite cylinder is proved.
2. The existence and uniqueness of solutions for time periodic Stokes problem with cylindrical outlets to infinity is proved.
3. The existence of solutions for time periodic Navier-Stokes problem in domains with cylindrical outlets to infinity is proved.

History of the problem

The solvability of boundary and initial boundary value problems for Navier-Stokes equations is one of the most important questions in mathematical hydrodynamics. It has been studied in many papers and monographs (e.g. [16], [27], [85]). The existence theory developed there deals mainly with flows of viscous fluids in domains with compact boundaries (i.e., bounded and exterior domains). Although some of these results do not depend on the shape of the boundary, many problems of scientific and practical interest related to flows of viscous incompressible fluid in domains with noncompact boundaries have remained unsolved. Therefore, it is not surprising that during the last 30 years special attention has been given to problems in such domains. J. Heywood has drawn attention to the question of correct formulation of boundary value problems for Navier-Stokes equations in domains with noncompact boundaries. In 1976 he demonstrated [20] that in domains with noncompact boundaries the motion of a viscous fluid is not always uniquely determined by the applied external forces and usual initial and boundary conditions. Moreover, certain physically important quantities (such as fluxes of the velocity field or limiting values of the pressure at infinity) have to be prescribed additionally.

After the publication of J. Heywood’s paper the theory of Navier-Stokes equations in domains with noncompact boundaries received a great impulse in a row of papers. Such problems were investigated in a wide class of domains having “outlets” to infinity. First, it was proved in [24], [29], [30], [76], [82] that looking for solutions with a finite Dirichlet integral it is necessary to prescribe additional conditions (fluxes over the sections of outlets to infinity or pressure drops) in all “wide” outlets which grow at infinity “sufficiently rapidly”. The weak solvability of the steady Navier-Stokes problem was proved in the above mentioned papers for arbitrary data. For the three-dimensional time-dependent Navier-Stokes problem unique solvability is obtained only for small data.
4 INTRODUCTION

The next step in the evolution of the mathematical theory of viscous incompressible fluids in domains with outlets to infinity was to consider natural physical problems with prescribed fluxes in “narrow” outlets to infinity also (for example in pipes). However, since any divergence-free vector-field with a finite Dirichlet integral necessarily has zero fluxes over the sections of “narrow” outlets to infinity, the usual energy estimate method becomes insufficient in this case. The Navier-Stokes problem with additionally prescribed fluxes in “narrow” outlets to infinity has to be studied in a class of functions having infinite Dirichlet integrals. The basic results concerning such problems were obtained by O. A. Ladyzhenskaya and V. A. Solonnikov [28]. In this paper a special technique of integral estimates (the so called “techniques of Saint-Venant’s principle”) is developed and the existence of solutions having an infinite Dirichlet integral was proved. This result is obtained without any restrictions on data, assuming as the only necessary compatibility condition that the total flux is equal to zero. In particular, the solvability of the steady Navier-Stokes problem is proved for arbitrary data in domains with cylindrical outlets to infinity and it is shown that for sufficiently small fluxes this solution is unique and tends exponentially (as $|x| \to \infty$) in each cylinder to the corresponding Poiseuille flow. Notice that for domains with two cylindrical outlets to infinity the steady Navier-Stokes problem with prescribed flux $F$ has also been studied by C. J. Amick [3] where a solution approaching the Poiseuille flow as $|x| \to \infty$ is constructed for small $|F|$. In [49], similar results were obtained in domains with “layer-like” outlets to infinity.

The time-dependent Navier-Stokes system in domains with outlets to infinity is much less studied. In [31], [77], [80], [81] the existence of solutions with prescribed fluxes is proved. These solutions have a finite or infinite energy integral, dependent on the geometry of the outlets to infinity. In particular, if the outlets are cylindrical and the energy integral is infinite, then the time-dependent Navier-Stokes problem is proved in [31], [77], [80], [81] either for small data or for small time intervals.

In [77], [80], [81], V. A. Solonnikov studied both steady and time-dependent Navier-Stokes problems in a very general class of domains with outlets to infinity. He developed an axiomatic approach for such problems without making assumptions about the shape of the outlets and imposing only certain general restrictions. The function spaces used there are also very general. Many papers were devoted to the investigation of related questions, such as regularity, asymptotic behavior and uniqueness of solutions to the steady Stokes and Navier-Stokes problems in noncompact domains. It is evident that the behavior of solutions to the Navier-Stokes problem as $|x| \to \infty$ strongly depends on the geometry of outlets to infinity. Therefore, studying the properties of solutions to the Navier-Stokes problem
in such domains it is convenient to study the problem in weighted function spaces which reflect the decay properties of solutions as \( |x| \to \infty \). We mention papers [37], [54]–[56], where steady Stokes and Navier-Stokes problems are studied in weighted function spaces assuming the “parabolic-like” structure of the outlets to infinity. Likewise in papers [39], [40], [42], [58], [72] where asymptotics properties of solutions to steady Stokes and Navier-Stokes problems are studied in domains with outlets to infinity coinciding for large \( |x| \) with an infinite layer. In papers [9]–[11], [17], [35], [43], [73], [12]–[15], analogous questions were studied for the aperture domain and the domain having sector-like outlets to infinity. Finally, we mention papers [1], [23], [36], [38], [50]–[52], [68], [69], [79], where certain existence theorems for regular solutions are proved in domains with strip-like outlets to infinity and in domains with outlets having periodically varying sections. For two-dimensional domains with outlets to infinity, more general results concerning the pointwise decay and asymptotic properties of the solutions are obtained in [4]–[5].

Navier-Stokes problems in cylindrical outlets to infinity with the usual initial condition were studied in [66]. However, the time periodic problems of these equations, which are very important to practical applications, have not yet been studied in domains with cylindrical outlets to infinity.

**Approbation**

The results of the dissertation were published in the followings periodical scientific papers:


The results of the dissertation were presented at:


- 10th International conference on mathematical modelling and analysis, Lithuania, Trakai, 2005.

Contributing talks were given at the seminars at Institute of Mathematics and Informatics and Vilnius Gediminas Technical University.
INTRODUCTION

Structure of the dissertation

The dissertation consist of an introduction, five chapters, conclusions and the bibliography.

• In the first chapter, the necessary function spaces are defined and certain known auxiliary results are formulated.

• In the second chapter, we study a non-stationary Poiseuille solution. Poiseuille flow is an exact solution of the steady Navier-Stokes system in an infinite straight pipe of constant cross-section $\sigma$ and has the prescribed flux $F$ over $\sigma$. Moreover, it is natural to study time dependent analog of Leray’s problem. However, in this case there already appears to be a problem with the definition of time dependent Poiseuille flow. In prescribing the flux $F(t)$, we have to solve for $U(x', t)$ and $q(t)$ more complicated nonstandard inverse parabolic problem. The theory of inverse problems for the parabolic equations was studied by many authors [44]–[46]. Nevertheless, we failed to find in the literature any result concerning the solvability of our problem. The analogical inverse periodic Poiseuille flow problem was solved in [8], [19].

• In the third chapter, we study the time periodic problem for the Stokes system in domains with cylindrical outlets to infinity.

• In the fourth chapter, we study the two dimensional time periodic Navier–Stokes problem. Here, it was proved that at least one solution in weighted Sobolev spaces exists. However, it’s existence was proved only if some of the data is small enough. The analogical problem with the usual initial conditions was proved without any small data in [64], [66]. Time periodic Navier-Stokes problems previously was studied in many papers (e. g. [21], [26], [74], [84], [86]). But we could not find there any method for solving our problem without smallness of the data.

• In the fifth chapter, we study the three-dimensional time periodic Navier-Stokes problem. The solvability in weighted Sobolev norms was obtained, of course, for all small data (including external force).
In this chapter we introduce function spaces which are used in the thesis and formulate certain embedding theorems and multiplicative inequalities that are important for further considerations. In particular, we define weighted function spaces in domains with cylindrical outlets to infinity, where the weight-function regulates the behavior of elements of these spaces as $|x| \to \infty$.

1.1 Function spaces and main notations

By $c, c_j, j = 1, 2, ..., \text{etc.}$, we denote different constants whose possible dependence of parameters $a_1, ..., a_n$ will be specified whenever it is necessary. In such a case, we shall write $c = c(a_1, ..., a_n)$.

Let $V$ be a Banach space. The norm of an element $u$ in the function space $V$ is denoted by $\|u; V\|$. Vector-valued functions are denoted by bold letters, however the spaces of scalar and vector-valued functions are not distinguished in notations. The vector-valued function $\mathbf{u} = (u_1, ..., u_n)$ belongs to the space $V$, if $u_i \in V, i = 1, ..., n$, and $\|\mathbf{u}; V\| = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \|u_i; V\|$.

Let $G$ be an arbitrary domain in $\mathbb{R}^n, n > 1$, with the boundary $\partial G$. We shall use the following notations:
1. FUNCTION SPACES AND AUXILIARY RESULTS

- \( C^\infty (G) \) is the set of all infinitely many times differentiable in \( G \) functions;

- \( C^\infty_0 (G) \) is the subset of functions from \( C^\infty (G) \) with compact supports in \( G \);

- \( W^l_q (G), l \geq 0, q \in [1, \infty) \), is the Sobolev space of functions with the finite norm:
  \[
  \| u; W^l_q (G) \| = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq l} \| D^\alpha u; L_q (G) \|,
  \]
  where \( D^\alpha = \frac{\partial^{|\alpha|}}{\partial x_1^{\alpha_1} \partial x_2^{\alpha_2} \partial x_3^{\alpha_3}} \), \( |\alpha| = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3 \).

- \( \dot{W}^l_q (G) \) is the closure of \( C^\infty_0 (G) \) in the norm \( \| \cdot ; W^l_q (G) \| \).

- \( L^q (G) = W^0_q (G) \).

- \( L^\infty (G) \) is a space of all real Lebesgue measurable functions defined in \( G \) with the finite norm:
  \[
  \| u; L^\infty (G) \| = \text{ess sup}_{x \in G} |u(x)| < \infty.
  \]

- \( C^l (\overline{G}) \) (\( l \) is an integer) is a Banach space of functions \( u(x) \) for which \( D^\alpha u(x) \) is bounded and uniformly continuous in \( \overline{G} \) for all \( 0 \leq |\alpha| \leq l \). The norm in \( C^l (\overline{G}) \) is defined by the formula:
  \[
  \| u; C^l (\overline{G}) \| = \sum_{|\alpha| = 0}^l \sup_{x \in \overline{G}} |D^\alpha u(x)|.
  \]

- \( C^{l+\delta} (\overline{G}) \) (\( \delta \in (0, 1) \)) is the subspace of \( C^l (\overline{G}) \) consisting of functions whose derivatives up to the order \( l \) are Hölder continuous in \( \overline{G} \). \( C^{l+\delta} (\overline{G}) \) is a Banach space with the finite norm:
  \[
  \| u; C^{l+\delta} (\overline{G}) \| = \| u; C^l (\overline{G}) \| + \sum_{|\alpha| = l} \sup_{x,y \in \overline{G}} \frac{|D^\alpha u(x) - D^\alpha u(y)|}{|x - y|^\delta}.
  \]

Consider now functions dependent on the space variable \( x \in G \) and the time \( t \in (0, T) \).

- \( W^{2l,t}_2 (G \times (0, T)), l \geq 0 \) is an integer, is a Hilbert space of functions that have generalized derivatives \( D_t^r D_x^\alpha \) with every \( r \) and \( \alpha \) such that \( 2r + |\alpha| \leq 2l \). The
norm in $W^{2l,1}_2(G \times (0, T))$ is defined by the formula:

$$
\|u; W^{2l,1}_2(G \times (0, T))\| = \left( \sum_{j=0}^{2l} \sum_{2^r+|\alpha|} \int_0^T |D_\alpha^r t^2 u(x,t)|^2 dx dt \right)^{1/2}.
$$

- $W^{1,1}_2(G \times (0, T))$ and $W^{1,0}_2(G \times (0, T))$ are spaces of functions with finite norms:

$$
\|u; W^{1,1}_2(G \times (0, T))\| = \left( \int_0^T \left( |u_t(x,t)|^2 + |u(x,t)|^2 + |\nabla u(x,t)|^2 \right) dx dt \right)^{1/2}
$$

and

$$
\|u; W^{1,0}_2(G \times (0, T))\| = \left( \int_0^T \left( |u(x,t)|^2 + |\nabla u(x,t)|^2 \right) dx dt \right)^{1/2}.
$$

- $W^{1,1}_2(G \times (0, T))$ and $W^{1,0}_2(G \times (0, T))$ are subspaces of $W^{1,1}_2(G \times (0, T))$ and $W^{1,0}_2(G \times (0, T))$ consisting of functions satisfying the condition $u(x,t)|_{\partial G} = 0$.

- $C^{2l+2\delta, l+\delta}([G \times [0, T]])$, $l \geq 0$ is an integer, $\delta \in (0, 1/2)$, is the Hölder space of continuous functions having in $G \times [0, T]$ continuous derivatives $D_\alpha^r$ with respect to $x$ up to order $2l$ and continuous derivatives $D_\alpha^r$ with respect to $t$ up to the order $l$. The norm in $C^{2l+2\delta, l+\delta}([G \times [0, T]])$ is defined by

$$
\|u; C^{2l+2\delta, l+\delta}([G \times [0, T]])\| = \sum_{|\alpha|=0}^{2l} \sup_{x \in G, t \in [0, T]} |D_\alpha^r u(x, t)| + \sum_{|\alpha|=2l} \sup_{(x,y) \in G, t \in [0, T]} \frac{|D_\alpha^r u(x,t) - D_\alpha^r u(y,t)|}{|x-y|^{2\delta}}
$$

$$
+ \sum_{|\alpha|=l} \sup_{x \in G, (t,s) \in [0, T]} |D_\alpha^r u(x,t) - D_\alpha^r u(x,s)| \frac{1}{|t-s|^\delta}.
$$
Let \( \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n \), \( (n = 2, 3) \) be a domain with \( J \) cylindrical outlets to infinity:

\[
\Omega = \Omega_{(0)} \cup \left( \bigcup_{j=1}^{J} \Omega_j \right),
\]

i. e., outside the sphere \( |x| = r_0 \) the domain \( \Omega \) splits into \( J \) disjoint components \( \Omega_j \) (outlets to infinity) which in some coordinate systems \( x^{(j)} \) are given by the relations

\[
\Omega_j = \{ x^{(j)} \in \mathbb{R}^n : x^{(j)}' \in \sigma_j, \ x_n^{(j)} > 0 \}, \ j = 1, \ldots, J, \quad (1.1)
\]

where \( x^{(j)}' = (x_1^{(j)}, x_2^{(j)}) \) for \( n = 3 \), \( x_1^{(j)} = x_1^{(j)} \) for \( n = 2 \) and \( \sigma_j \subset \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \) is a bounded domain, i. e. for \( x_n^{(j)} > 0 \) outlets to infinity coincide with infinite pipes \( \Pi_j = \{ x^{(j)} \in \mathbb{R} : x^{(j)}' \in \sigma_j, \ -\infty < x_n^{(j)} < \infty \} \) (if \( n = 2 \), the outlets \( \Omega_j \) coincide with infinite strips and cross-sections \( \sigma_j = (0, h_j) \) are bounded intervals).

We introduce the following notations:

\[
\Omega_{jk} = \{ x \in \Omega_j : x_n^{(j)} < k \}, \ \omega_{jk} = \Omega_{jk+1} \setminus \Omega_{jk}, \ j = 1, \ldots, J,
\]

\[
\Omega_{(k)} = \Omega_{(0)} \cup \left( \bigcup_{j=1}^{J} \Omega_j \right), \ \Omega_{(0)} = \Omega \setminus \left( \bigcup_{j=1}^{J} \Omega_j \right), \quad (1.2)
\]

where \( k \geq 0 \) is an integer.

Denote \( \beta = (\beta_1, \ldots, \beta_J) \) and let \( E_{\beta_j}(x) = E_{\beta_j}(x_n^{(j)}) \) be smooth monotone weight-functions in \( \Omega_j \) such that

\[
E_{\beta_j}(x) > 0, \ a_1 \leq E_{-\beta_j}(x) E_{\beta_j}(x) \leq a_2 \ \forall x \in \Omega_j, \ E_{\beta_j}(0) = 1, \quad (1.3_1)
\]

\[
b_1 E_{\beta_j}(k) \leq E_{\beta_j}(x) \leq b_2 E_{\beta_j}(k), \ \forall x \in \omega_{jk}, \quad (1.3_2)
\]

\[
|\nabla E_{\beta_j}(x)| \leq b_3 \gamma_s E_{\beta_j}(x), \ \forall x \in \Omega_j, \quad (1.3_3)
\]

\[
\lim_{x_n^{(j)} \to \infty} E_{\beta_j}(x) = \infty, \ \text{if} \ \beta > 0, \quad (1.3_4)
\]

where the constants \( a_1, a_2, b_1, b_2, b_3 \) are independent of \( k \) and \( b_3 \) is independent of \( \beta_j \). Simple examples of such weight-functions are

\[
E_{\beta_j}(x) = (1 + \delta |x_n^{(j)}|^2)^{\beta_j} \ \text{and} \ E_{\beta_j}(x) = \exp(2\beta_j x_n^{(j)}).
\]

Note that the inequality (1.3_3) holds for the first weight-function with \( \gamma_s = |\beta_j| \delta, \)
and for the second one with $\gamma_x = |\beta_j|$. Below, in proofs of solvability for Navier-Stokes system, we need $\gamma_x$ to be “sufficiently small”. For the exponential weight-function $E_{\beta_x}(x) = \exp(2\beta x_n^j)$ this is the case, if we assume that $|\beta_j|$ is sufficiently small. In the case of the power weight-function $E_{\beta_x}(x) = (1 + \delta |x_n^j|^2)^\beta_j$ this assumption could be satisfied taking sufficiently small $\delta$ and there are no restrictions on $\beta_j$.

Set

$$E_{\beta}(x) = \begin{cases} 1, & x \in \Omega_{(0)}; \\ E_{\beta_j}(x_n^j), & x \in \Omega_j, \quad j = 1, \ldots, J. \end{cases} \quad (1.4)$$

Let us introduce weighted function spaces:

- $C_c^\infty(\overline{\Omega})$ is the set of all functions from $C^\infty(\Omega)$ that are equal to zero for large $|x|$ (not necessary on $\partial \Omega$).

- $\mathcal{W}_{l,\beta}^j(\Omega), \ l \geq 0$, is the space of functions obtained as the closure of $C_c^\infty(\overline{\Omega})$ in the norm:

$$\|u; \mathcal{W}_{l,\beta}^j(\Omega)\| = \left( \sum_{|\alpha|=0}^l \int_{\Omega} E_{\beta}(x) |D^\alpha u(x)|^2 \, dx \right)^{1/2}.$$

- $\mathcal{W}_{l,\beta}^0(\Omega) = \mathcal{L}_{l,\beta}(\Omega)$.

- $\mathcal{W}_{l,\beta}^{2,l}(\Omega \times (0, T)) (l \geq 0$ is an integer), $\mathcal{W}_{l,\beta}^{1,1}(\Omega \times (0, T))$ and $\mathcal{W}_{l,\beta}^{1,0}(\Omega \times (0, T))$ are the spaces of functions obtained as closures of the set of all infinitely many times differentiable with respect to $x$ and $t$ functions, that are equal to zero for large $|x|$, in the norms

$$\|u; \mathcal{W}_{l,\beta}^{2,l}(\Omega \times (0, T))\| = \left( \sum_{j=0}^{2l} \sum_{2r+|\alpha|=j} \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} E_{\beta}(x) |D_t^r D_x^\alpha u(x,t)|^2 \, dx \, dt \right)^{1/2},$$

$$\|u; \mathcal{W}_{l,\beta}^{1,1}(\Omega \times (0, T))\| = \left( \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} E_{\beta}(x) (|u_t(x,t)|^2 + |u(x,t)|^2 + |\nabla u(x,t)|^2) \, dx \, dt \right)^{1/2}.$$
and
\[
\| u; \mathcal{W}_{2,\beta}^{1,0}(\Omega^T) \| = \left( \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} E_{\beta}(x)(|u(x,t)|^2 + |\nabla u(x,t)|^2) dx dt \right)^{1/2},
\]
respectively.

Note that, if \( \beta_j > 0 \), the weight-indices \( \beta_j \) shows a decay rate of elements \( u \in \mathcal{W}_{2,\beta}^l(\Omega) \) and their derivatives as \( |x| \to \infty, x \in \Omega_j \).

We will need also a “step” weight-function

\[
E_{\beta}^{(k)}(x) = \begin{cases} 
1, & x \in \Omega_{(0)}, \\
E_{\beta_j}(x^{(j)}), & x \in \Omega_{jk}, j = 1, \ldots, J, \\
E_{\beta_j}(k), & x \in \Omega \setminus \Omega_{jk}, j = 1, \ldots, J.
\end{cases}
\]  
(1.5)

It is easy to see that \( E_{\beta}^{(k)}(x) = E_{\beta}(x) \) for \( x \in \Omega_{(k)} \),
\[
|\nabla E_{\beta}^{(k)}(x)| \leq b_{\beta} \gamma_{\ast} E_{\beta_j}^{(k)}(x),
\]  
(1.6)
and, if \( \beta_j \geq 0 \), then
\[
E_{\beta_j}^{(k)}(x) \leq E_{\beta_j}(x), \quad \forall x \in \Omega.
\]

Moreover, by definition

\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{(j)}^l} E_{\beta}^{(k)}(x) = \begin{cases} 
0, & l = 1, \ldots, n, x \in \Omega_{(0)}, \\
0, & l = 1, \ldots, n, x \in \Omega \setminus \Omega_k, \\
0, & l = 1, \ldots, n - 1, x \in \Omega_{jk}, j = 1, \ldots, J, \\
\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{(j)}^l} E_{\beta}(x), & l = n, x \in \Omega_{jk}, j = 1, \ldots, J.
\end{cases}
\]
Thus,
\[ \nabla E^{(k)}_\beta(x) \subset \bigcup_{j=1}^{J} \Omega_{jk}. \]  
(1.7)

**Remark.** The general weight functions \( E_\beta(x) \) and the corresponding weighted spaces first were introduced by K. Pileckas in [65]–[67].

### 1.2 Auxiliary results

In this section we collect known results which will be used below.

**Lemma 1.1.** If \( u \in W_2^{2l} \left( G \times (0, T) \right) \) then \( D_t^r D_x^\alpha u(x, t) \) with \( 2r + |\alpha| < 2l - 1 \) belongs to the space \( W_2^{2l-2r-|\alpha|-1}(G) \) and there holds the inequality
\[
\| D_t^r D_x^\alpha u(\cdot, t); W_2^{2l-2r-|\alpha|-1}(G) \| \leq c \| u; W_2^{2l}(G \times (0, T)) \|, \]  
(1.8)

where the constant \( c \) is independent of \( t \in [0, T] \).

**Lemma 1.2.** Let \( G \subset \mathbb{R}^2 \) be a bounded domain. For any \( u \in W_2^1(G) \) holds the multiplicative inequality
\[
\| u; L_4(G) \| \leq c \| u; W_2^1(G) \|^{1/2} \| u; L_2(G) \|^{1/2}, \]  
(1.9)

**Lemma 1.3.** Let \( G \subset \mathbb{R}^3 \) be a bounded domain. For any \( u \in W_2^1(G) \) holds the multiplicative inequality
\[
\| u; L_3(G) \| \leq c \| u; W_2^1(G) \|^{1/2} \| u; L_2(G) \|^{1/2}. \]  
(1.10)

If \( u \in W_2^2(G) \), then the following multiplicative inequality
\[
\| u; L_\infty(G) \| \leq c \| \nabla u; L_6(G) \|^{1/2} \| u; L_6(G) \|^{1/2} \\
\leq c \| \nabla u; W_2^1(G) \|^{1/2} \| u; W_2^1(G) \|^{1/2} \]  
(1.11)

is valid. The constants in (1.10), (1.11) depend only on \( G \).
Lemma 1.4. Let $G \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ be a bounded domain, $T < \infty$. Then the embedding operator $I : W^1_2(G \times (0, T)) \hookrightarrow L^4(G \times (0, T))$ is compact.

Proofs of Lemmas 2.1–2.4 could be found in [2] and [27] books.

Lemma 1.5. Let $G \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ be a bounded domain, $T < \infty$ and let $\{u_n(x, t)\}$ be a weakly convergent in the space $W^{2,1}_2(G \times (0, T))$ sequence of functions. Then

$$
\int_0^T \|\nabla u_n(\cdot, t) - \nabla u_m(\cdot, t); L^4(G)\|^2 dt \to 0, \text{ as } n, m \to 0.
$$

Let $\Omega$ be a domain with cylindrical outlets to infinity (see section 1).

Lemma 1.6. For any function $u \in W^{1,\beta}_{2,\beta}(\Omega)$ which is equal to zero on $\partial \Omega$ holds the following weighted Poincaré inequality

$$
\int_{\Omega} E_\beta(x)|u(x)|^2 dx \leq c \int_{\Omega} E_\beta(x)|\nabla u(x)|^2 dx. \tag{1.12}
$$

Note, that if we take in (1.12) $\beta = 0$, we get usual Poincaré inequality

$$
\int_{\Omega} |u(x)|^2 dx \leq c_0 \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u(x)|^2 dx.
$$

Lemma 1.7. Let $u(\cdot, t) \in \dot{W}^1_2(\Omega)$, $u_t(\cdot, t) \in L^2(\Omega)$, $\text{div} u(x, t) = 0$, $\forall t \in [0, T]$,

$$
\int_{\sigma_j} u(x, t) \cdot n(x) ds = 0, \quad j = 1, \ldots, J.
$$

Then there exists a vector-field $W^{(k)}(\cdot, t) \in \dot{W}^1_2(\Omega)$ with $W^{(k)}_t(\cdot, t) \in \dot{W}^1_2(\Omega)$ such that $\text{supp}_x W^{(k)}(x, t) \subset \bigcup_{j=1}^J \overline{\Omega}_{jk}$ and

$$
\text{div} W^{(k)}(x, t) = -\text{div}(E^{(k)}_\beta(x) u(x, t)).
$$
1.2. Auxiliary results

There holds the estimates

\[ \int_{\Omega} E_{-\beta}(x) |\nabla W^{(k)}(x,t)|^2 dx \leq c_\gamma^2 \int_{\Omega} E_{\beta}(x) |u(x,t)|^2 dx \]

\[ \leq c_\gamma^2 \int_{\Omega} E_{\beta}(x) |\nabla u^{(k)}(x,t)|^2 dx, \quad (1.13) \]

\[ \int_{\Omega} E_{-\beta}(x) |\nabla W_t^{(k)}(x,t)|^2 dx \leq c_\gamma^2 \int_{\Omega} E_{\beta}(x) |u_t^{(k)}(x,t)|^2 dx. \quad (1.14) \]

Moreover, if \( u(x,t) \) is time periodic with a period \( T \), i.e. \( u(x,0) = u(x,T) \), then also \( W^{(k)}(x,t) \) is time periodic with the same period. The constants in (1.13) and (1.14) are independent of \( k \) and \( t \in [0,T] \).

Let \( G \) be a bounded domain in \( \mathbb{R}^n, n = 2,3 \). Consider in \( G \) the following problem:

\[
\begin{aligned}
\text{div} W(x,t) &= g(x,t), \\
W(x,t)|_{\partial G} &= 0
\end{aligned}
\]

assuming that

\[ \int_{G} g(x,t)dx = 0, \quad \forall t \in [0,T]. \quad (1.16) \]

**Lemma 1.8.** Let \( G \subset \mathbb{R}^n \) be a bounded domain with the Lipschitz boundary \( \partial G \). If \( g(\cdot,t) \in W_2^1(G), g_t(\cdot,t) \in L_2(G) \) and (1.16) holds \( \forall t \in [0,T] \), then problem (1.15) admits at least one solution \( W(\cdot,t) \in W_2^2(G) \) with \( W_t(\cdot,t) \in W_2^1(G) \). There holds the estimates

\[ \|W(\cdot,t); W_2^2(G)\| \leq \|g(\cdot,t); W_2^1(G)\|, \quad (1.17) \]

\[ \|\nabla W_t(\cdot,t); L_2(G)\| \leq c\|g(\cdot,t); L_2(G)\|. \quad (1.18) \]

with a constant \( c \) independent of \( g(x,t) \) and \( t \in [0,T] \).

Lemmas 2.5–2.8 are proved in [66].
Non-stationary Poiseuille solution

2.1 Formulation of the problem

In this chapter we study the initial-boundary value problem for the non-stationary Navier-Stokes system in an infinite cylinder \( \Pi = \{ x \in \mathbb{R}^3 : x' = (x_1, x_2) \in \sigma, x_3 \in \mathbb{R} \} \):

\[
\begin{aligned}
    & u_t(x, t) - \nu \Delta u(x, t) + (u(x, t) \cdot \nabla u(x, t)) + \nabla p(x, t) = 0, \\
    & \text{div} u(x, t) = 0, \\
    & u(x, t) = 0, \\
    & u(x, 0)|_{\partial \Pi} = a(x).
\end{aligned}
\]  

We look for a solution of problem (2.1) having a prescribed time-dependent flux \( F(t) \) through the cross-section \( \sigma \):

\[
\int_{\sigma} u_3(x', t) \, dx' = F(t).
\]  

We assume that the initial data has the form \( a = (0, 0, a_3) \), where \( a_3 = a_3(x') \) does not depend on \( x_3 \). Finally, we suppose that there holds the necessary compat-
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ibility condition
\[ \int_{\sigma} a_3(x') \, dx' = F(0). \] (2.3)

We look for the solution \((u(x, t), p(x, t))\) of problem (2.1), (2.2) in the form
\[ u(x, t) = (0, 0, v(x', t)), \quad p(x, t) = -q(t)x_3 + p_0(t), \] (2.4)
where \(p_0(t)\) is an arbitrary function of \(t\).

**Definition.** The solution of the problem (2.1), (2.2) having the form (2.4) is called a Poiseuille solution.

Substituting (2.4) into (2.1), we get for \(v(x', t)\) and \(q(t)\) the following initial-boundary value problem for the heat equation
\[
\begin{cases}
  v_t(x', t) - \nu \Delta' v(x', t) = q(t), \\
  v(x', t) = 0, \\
  v(x', 0) = a_3(x'),
\end{cases}
\] (2.5)
where \(\Delta'\) denotes the Laplace operator with respect to variables \(x' = (x_1, x_2)\).

The right-hand side \(q(t)\) of equation (2.5) is not given; it has to be determined so that the solution \(v(x', t)\) of (2.5) satisfies flux condition (2.2), i.e.,
\[ \int_{\sigma} v(x', t) \, dx' = F(t). \] (2.6)

Thus, we arrive at the following inverse problem:

*Given \(a_3(x')\) and \(F(t)\), find a pair of functions \((v(x', t), q(t))\), satisfying the initial-boundary value problem (2.5) and the integral condition (2.6).*

Note that the existence of the stationary Poiseuille solution is well known.
2.1. Formulation of the problem

It solves the stationary Navier-Stokes system

\[
\begin{align*}
-\nu \Delta u(x) + (u(x) \cdot \nabla u(x)) + \nabla p &= 0, \\
\text{div}u(x) &= 0, \\
\{u(x)\}_{\partial \Omega} &= 0, \\
\int_\sigma u_3(x)dx &= F,
\end{align*}
\]

in the cylinder \( \Pi \) and has the form:

\[
u_F(x) = (0, 0, q_F v(x')), \quad p_F(x) = -\nu q_F x_3 + p_0,
\]

where \( p_0 \) – is an arbitrary constant, and \( v(x') \) is the solution of the Dirichlet boundary value problem for the Poisson equation

\[
\begin{align*}
-\nu \Delta' v(x') &= 1, \\
v(x')|_{\partial \sigma} &= 0.
\end{align*}
\]

Since

\[
\int_\sigma v(x')dx' = \nu \int_\sigma |\nabla' v(x')|^2dx' := \kappa_0 > 0,
\]

the constant \( q_F \) could be chosen so that the Poiseuille solution satisfies the flux condition \( \int_\sigma v(x')dx' = F \), i.e. \( q_F = F\kappa_0^{-1} \).

In the stationary case the constant \( q_F \) defining the pressure drop is proportional to the flux \( F \). So the stationary problem with the prescribed pressure drop is equivalent to the one with the prescribed flux. In contrast, for the non-stationary case the function \( q(t) \) defining the pressure drop is determined as a solution to the inverse problem (2.5), (2.6). Thus, the non-stationary case the problem with a given pressure drop \( q(t) \) is not equivalent to one with a given flux \( F(t) \). Analogously, could be considered the Poiseuille solution in a two-dimensional strip \( \Pi = \{x = (x_1, x_2), \ x_1 \in (0, h), \ x_2 \in \mathbb{R}\} \). In this case we suppose that the initial data has the form \( a = (0, a_2(x_1)) \) and the flux condition is:

\[
\int_0^h u_2(x_1, t)dx_1 = F(t),
\]
where $a(x')$ and $F(t)$ satisfy the compatibility condition:

$$\int_0^h a_2(x_1)dx_1 = F(0).$$

The corresponding non-stationary Poiseuille solution $(u, p)$ has the form

$$u(x, t) = (0, v(x_1, t)), \quad p(x, t) = -q(t)x_2 + p_0(t),$$

where $v(x_1, t)$ and $q(t)$ satisfy the inverse problem

$$\begin{cases}
vt(x_1, t) - \nu \frac{\partial^2 v(x_1, t)}{\partial x_1^2} = q(t), \\
v(0, t) = v(h, t) = 0, \\
v(x_1, 0) = a_2(x_1), \\
\int_0^h v(x_1, t)dx_1 = F(t).
\end{cases} \quad (2.10)$$

### 2.2 Construction of an approximate solution

Let us consider in $\sigma \times (0, T)$ the inverse problem

$$\begin{cases}
vt(x', t) - \nu \Delta v(x', t) = q(t), \\
v(x', t)|_{\partial \sigma} = 0, \\
v(x', 0) = a(x'), \\
\int_\sigma v(x', t)dx' = F(t), \\
\int_\sigma a(x')dx' = F(0).
\end{cases} \quad (2.11)$$

We shall study problem (2.11) in Hölder spaces. First, we consider problem (2.11) with $a(x', t) = 0$.

Denote by $u_k(x')$ and $\lambda_k$ the eigenfunctions and eigenvalues of the Laplace
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operator in the Sobolev space $W^{1}_{2}(\sigma)$:

\begin{equation}
\begin{cases}
-\nu \Delta' u_k(x') = \lambda_k u_k(x'), \\
\quad u_k(x')|_{\partial\sigma} = 0.
\end{cases}
\end{equation}

(2.12)

It is well known (see, for example [6]) that $u_k(x')$ are orthonormal in $L^2(\sigma)$, i.e.,

\[ \int_{\sigma} u_k(x') u_l(x') dx' = \delta_{kl}, \]

where $\delta_{kl}$ is Kronecker symbol. Moreover, \( \int_{\sigma} \nabla u_k(x) \nabla u_l(x') dx' = \lambda_k \delta_{kl}. \) Note that $\lambda_k > 0$ and $\{\lambda_k\} \to \infty$ as $k \to \infty$.

The constant function 1 can be decomposed into the Fourier series:

\[ 1 = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \beta_k u_k(x'), \]

where $\beta_k = \int_{\sigma} u_k(x') dx', k = 1, 2, \ldots$, and $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \beta_k^2 = |\sigma|$. We look for an approximate solution $(v^{(N)}, q^{(N)})$ of the problem (2.11) in the form

\[ v^{(N)}(x', t) = \sum_{k=1}^{N} w_k^{(N)}(t) u_k(x'). \]

(2.13)

Inserting (2.13) into (2.11), for functions $w_k^{(N)}(t)$ we get ordinary differential equations:

\begin{equation}
\begin{cases}
w_k^{(N)}(t) + \lambda_k w_k^{(N)}(t) = \beta_k q^{(N)}(t), \\
w_k^{(N)}(0) = 0, \quad k = 1, \ldots, N.
\end{cases}
\end{equation}

The solutions of the equations for each $k = 1, \ldots, N$ has the form

\[ w_k^{(N)}(t) = \beta_k \int_{0}^{t} \exp(-\lambda_k (t - \tau)) q^{(N)}(\tau) d\tau. \]
Thus, we get that
\[
v^{(N)}(x', t) = \sum_{k=1}^{N} \beta_k \left( \int_0^t \exp(-\lambda_k(t - \tau)) q^{(N)}(\tau) \, d\tau \right) u_k(x').
\] (2.14)

Now we choose the function \(q^{(N)}(t)\) in order to satisfy the condition
\[
\int_{\sigma} v^{(N)}(x', t) \, dx' = F(t), \quad \forall \, t \in (0, T).
\] (2.15)

Inserting (2.14) into (2.15), we obtain the equality
\[
\int_{\Omega} v^{(N)}(x', t) \, dx' = \sum_{k=1}^{N} \beta_k \int_0^t \exp(-\lambda_k(t - \tau)) q^{(N)}(\tau) \, d\tau \int_{\Omega} u_k(x') \, dx' = F(t),
\]
which is equivalent to the Volterra integral equation of the first kind for the function \(q^{(N)}(t)\):
\[
\sum_{k=1}^{N} \beta_k^2 \int_0^t \exp(-\lambda_k(t - \tau)) q^{(N)}(\tau) \, d\tau = F(t).
\] (2.16)

Suppose that the derivative of \(F(t)\) exists. Differentiating (2.16), we deduce that
\[
q^{(N)}(t) - \frac{1}{\kappa^N} \sum_{k=1}^{N} \beta_k^2 \lambda_k \int_0^t \exp(-\lambda_k(t - \tau)) q^{(N)}(\tau) \, d\tau = \varphi^{(N)}(t),
\] (2.17)
where \(\kappa_N = \sum_{k=1}^{N} \beta_k^2\) and \(\varphi^{(N)}(t) = F'(t)/\kappa_N\). This is a Volterra equation of the second kind with the kernel
\[
K^{(N)}(t, \tau) = \kappa_N^{-1} \sum_{k=1}^{N} \beta_k^2 \lambda_k \exp(-\lambda_k(t - \tau)).
\]

The solvability of such equations is well known; see [34] for instance. However, it is not possible to pass to the limit as \(N \to \infty\) directly in (2.17), since the series
\[
\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \beta_k^2 \lambda_k
\]
that defines the limit kernel \(K^{(\infty)}(t, t)\) at \(t = \tau\) diverges.

We will prove below that under natural compatibility conditions the sequence \(\{(v^{(N)}(x', t), q^{(N)}(t))\}\) converges as \(N \to \infty\) in the form \(C^{2l+2\delta, l+\delta}(Q \times (0, T)) \times \)
2.3 Uniform estimates for the solution to integral equation (2.17)

In this section we prove uniform estimates in the Hölder space for the solution \( q^{(N)}(t) \) of the integral equation (2.17). Consider first the auxiliary integral equation

\[
f^{(N)}(t) - \frac{1}{\kappa} \sum_{k=2}^{N} \beta_k^2 \lambda_k \int_0^t \exp(-\lambda_k(t-\tau)) f^{(N)}(\tau) \, d\tau = g(t). \tag{2.18}
\]

Note that differently from (2.17), the sum in (2.18) starts from \( k = 2 \).

**Lemma 2.1.** Suppose that \( g \in C^{\delta}(0, T) \) and \( g(0) = 0 \). Then there exists a unique solution \( f^{(N)} \in C^{\delta}(0, T) \) to (2.18). Moreover, \( f^{(N)}(0) = 0 \) and

\[
|f^{(N)}(t)| \leq \frac{\kappa}{\beta_1^2} \sup_{\tau \in [0, T]} |g(\tau)|, \quad \forall t \in [0, T], \tag{2.19}
\]

\[
\|f^{(N)}; C^{\delta}(0, T)\| \leq 2 \frac{\kappa}{\beta_1^2} \|g; C^{\delta}(0, T)\|. \tag{2.20}
\]

**Proof.** Put

\[
\begin{align*}
f^{(N)}_0(t) &= g(t), \ldots, \\
f^{(N)}_n(t) &= \frac{1}{\kappa} \sum_{k=2}^{N} \beta_k^2 \lambda_k \int_0^t \exp(-\lambda_k(t-\tau)) f^{(N)}_{n-1}(\tau) \, d\tau, \ldots, \\
f^{(N)}(t) &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f^{(N)}_n(t). \tag{2.21}
\end{align*}
\]

Then

\[
|f^{(N)}_0(t)| \leq \sup_{\tau \in [0, t]} |g(\tau)|,
\]

\[
|f^{(N)}_1(t)| \leq \frac{1}{\kappa} \sup_{\tau \in [0, t]} |g(\tau)| \sum_{k=2}^{N} \beta_k^2 \lambda_k \int_0^t \exp(-\lambda_k(t-\tau)) \, d\tau \leq
\]

\[
\frac{\kappa}{\beta_1^2} \sup_{\tau \in [0, t]} |g(\tau)| \sum_{k=2}^{N} \beta_k^2 \lambda_k \int_0^t \exp(-\lambda_k(t-\tau)) \, d\tau = \frac{\kappa}{\beta_1^2} \sup_{\tau \in [0, t]} |g(\tau)| \cdot \sum_{k=2}^{N} \beta_k^2 \lambda_k \int_0^t \exp(-\lambda_k(t-\tau)) \, d\tau.
\]

Considering the Hölder space, we have

\[
\|f^{(N)}; C^{\delta}(0, T)\| \leq 2 \frac{\kappa}{\beta_1^2} \|g; C^{\delta}(0, T)\|.
\]
\[ \frac{1}{\gamma_N} \sup_{\tau \in [0,t]} |g(\tau)| \left| \sum_{k=2}^{N} \beta_k^2 (1 - \exp(-\lambda_k t)) \right| \leq \frac{\gamma_N}{\gamma_N} \sup_{\tau \in [0,t]} |g(\tau)|, \]

where \( \gamma_N = \sum_{k=2}^{N} \beta_k^2 = \kappa_N - \beta_1^2 \). Since \( \gamma_N / \kappa_N < 1 \), the series (2.21) that determines a solution to (2.18) converges absolutely and uniformly on the interval \( t \in [0, T] \). Moreover,

\[ |f^{(N)}(t)| \leq \sup_{\tau \in [0,t]} |g(\tau)| \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left( \frac{\gamma_N}{\kappa_N} \right)^n = \frac{\kappa_N}{\kappa_N - \gamma_N} \sup_{\tau \in [0,t]} |g(\tau)|, \]

and (2.18) implies that \( f^{(N)}(0) = 0 \).

Estimate now \( f^{(N)}(t) \) in the Hölder norm. Since \( f^{(N)}_{n-1}(0) = 0 \), we have

\[
|f^{(N)}_n(t + h) - f^{(N)}_n(t)| = \left| \frac{1}{\kappa_N} \sum_{k=2}^{N} \beta_k^2 \lambda_k \int_0^t \exp(-\lambda_k(t + h - \tau)) f^{(N)}_{n-1}(\tau) d\tau - \frac{1}{\kappa_N} \sum_{k=2}^{N} \beta_k^2 \lambda_k \int_0^t \exp(-\lambda_k(t - \tau)) f^{(N)}_{n-1}(\tau) d\tau \right| \leq \frac{1}{\kappa_N} \sum_{k=2}^{N} \beta_k^2 \lambda_k \int_0^t \exp(-\lambda_k(t - \tau)) |f^{(N)}_{n-1}(\tau + h) - f^{(N)}_{n-1}(\tau)| d\tau + \frac{1}{\kappa_N} \sum_{k=2}^{N} \beta_k^2 \lambda_k \int_0^h \exp(-\lambda_k(t + h - \tau)) |f^{(N)}_{n-1}(\tau) - f^{(N)}_{n-1}(0)| d\tau, \quad n = 1, 2, \ldots .
\]

Estimating the right-hand side here, we find that

\[ |f^{(N)}_0(t + h) - f^{(N)}_0(t)| = |g(t + h) - g(t)| \leq h^\delta \|g; C^\delta(0, T)\|, \]

\[ |f^{(N)}_1(t + h) - f^{(N)}_1(t)| \leq 2h^\delta \|g; C^\delta(0, T)\| \frac{\gamma_N}{\kappa_N}, \ldots , \]
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\[ |f_n^{(N)}(t + h) - f_n^{(N)}(t)| \leq 2h^\delta \|g; \mathcal{C}_N(0, T)\| \left( \frac{\gamma_N}{\varepsilon_N} \right)^n, \ldots \]

for all \( \forall t, t + h \in [0, T] \). Hence,

\[ \|f_n^{(N)}; \mathcal{C}_N(0, T)\| \leq 2\|g; \mathcal{C}_N(0, T)\| \left( \frac{\gamma_N}{\varepsilon_N} \right)^n \]

and consequently, the estimate (2.20) holds for \( f^{(N)}(t) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_n^{(N)}(t) \). The lemma is proved.

Define now an operator \( B_N \) on the space \( \hat{\mathcal{C}}(0, T) = \{ \Phi(t) \in \mathcal{C}(0, T) : \Phi(0) = 0 \} \) by the formula

\[ B_N \Phi(t) = \Phi(t) - \frac{1}{z_N} \sum_{k=2}^{N} \beta_k^2 \lambda_k \int_0^t \exp(-\lambda_k(t - \tau)) \Phi(\tau) \, d\tau. \]

Lemma 2.1 implies that there exists a bounded inverse operator \( B_N^{-1} : \hat{\mathcal{C}}(0, T) \to \hat{\mathcal{C}}(0, T) \) and that

\[ \|B_N^{-1}; \hat{\mathcal{C}}(0, T)\| \leq \frac{\varepsilon_N}{\beta_1^2} \] (2.22)

In addition,

\[ |B_N^{-1}g(t)| \leq \frac{\varepsilon_N}{\beta_1^2} \sup_{\tau \in [0, t]} |g(\tau)|. \] (2.23)

Consider the full equation (2.17), rewriting it in the form

\[ B_N q^{(N)}(t) = \frac{1}{z_N} \beta_1^2 \lambda_1 \int_0^t \exp(-\lambda_1(t - \tau)) q^{(N)}(\tau) \, d\tau + \varphi^{(N)}(t). \] (2.24)

Introducing the notation \( g^{(N)} = B_N q^{(N)} \) and \( q^{(N)} = B_N^{-1} g_N \), we obtain from (2.24) the integral equation

\[ g^{(N)}(t) - \frac{1}{z_N} \beta_1^2 \lambda_1 \int_0^t \exp(-\lambda_1(t - \tau))(B_N^{-1} g^{(N)})(\tau) \, d\tau = \varphi^{(N)}(t). \] (2.25)

**Lemma 2.2.** Let \( \varphi^{(N)}(t) \in \hat{\mathcal{C}}(0, T) \). Then there exists a unique solution \( g^{(N)} \in \hat{\mathcal{C}}(0, T) \).
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\( \bar{C}^\delta(0, T) \) to (2.25) satisfying the estimates

\[
|g^{(N)}(t)| \leq \exp(\lambda_1 t) \sup_{\tau \in [0,t]} |\varphi^{(N)}(\tau)|, \tag{2.26}
\]

\[
\|g^{(N)}; C^\delta(0, T)\| \leq c \exp(2\lambda_1 T) \|\varphi^{(N)}; C^\delta(0, T)\|. \tag{2.27}
\]

Proof. Put

\[
g^{(N)}(t) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} g^{(N)}_n(t), \tag{2.28}
\]

where

\[
g^{(N)}_0(t) = \varphi^{(N)}(t),
\]

\[
g^{(N)}_1(t) = \frac{1}{\varkappa_N} \beta_1^2 \lambda_1 \int_0^t \exp(-\lambda_1 (t - \tau))(B_N^{-1} g^{(N)}_0(\tau)) d\tau, \ldots ,
\]

\[
g^{(N)}_{n+1}(t) = \frac{1}{\varkappa_N} \beta_1^2 \lambda_1 \int_0^t \exp(-\lambda_1 (t - \tau))(B_N^{-1} g^{(N)}_n(\tau)) d\tau, \ldots .
\]

Using (2.23), we infer by induction that

\[
|g^{(N)}_1(t)| \leq \frac{\varkappa_N}{\beta_1^2} \sup_{\tau \in [0,t]} |\varphi^{(N)}(\tau)| \frac{1}{\varkappa_N} \beta_1^2 \lambda_1 \int_0^t \exp(-\lambda_1 (t - \tau)) d\tau \leq \\
\leq \lambda_1 t \sup_{\tau \in [0,t]} |\varphi^{(N)}(\tau)|, \ldots ,
\]

\[
|g^{(N)}_{n+1}(t)| \leq \frac{\varkappa_N}{\beta_1^2} \lambda_1 \int_0^t \exp(-\lambda_1 (t - \tau)) \frac{\varkappa_N}{\beta_1^2} \sup_{s \in [0,t]} |g^{(N)}_n(s)| d\tau \leq \\
\leq \frac{\lambda_1^{n+1}}{n!} \sup_{\tau \in [0,t]} |\varphi^{(N)}(\tau)| \int_0^t \tau^n d\tau = \frac{(\lambda_1 t)^{n+1}}{(n+1)!} \sup_{\tau \in [0,t]} |\varphi^{(N)}(\tau)|, \ldots .
\]

Thus,

\[
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |g^{(N)}(t)| \leq \sup_{\tau \in [0,t]} |\varphi^{(N)}(\tau)| \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\lambda_1 t)^n}{n!} = \exp(\lambda_1 t) \sup_{\tau \in [0,t]} |\varphi^{(N)}(\tau)| \tag{2.29}
\]
2.3. Uniform estimates for the solution to integral equation (2.17)

and hence series (2.28), determining the solution to integral equation (2.25) converges absolutely and uniformly on each finite interval $[0, T]$. Moreover,

$$|g^{(N)}(t)| \leq \exp(\lambda_1 t) \sup_{\tau \in [0, t]} |\varphi^{(N)}(\tau)| \leq \exp(\lambda_1 T) \sup_{t \in [0, T]} |\varphi^{(N)}(t)|. \quad (2.30)$$

Using (2.22), it is easy to show that for the difference $|g^{(N)}(t+h) - g^{(N)}(t)|$ holds the inequality

$$|g^{(N)}(t+h) - g^{(N)}(t)| \leq c h^\delta \exp(2\lambda_1 T) \|g^{(N)}; \hat{C}^{\delta}(0, T)\|, \quad \forall t, t+h \in [0, T].$$

Therefore, $g^{(N)} \in \hat{C}^{\delta}(0, T)$ and (2.27) holds. The lemma is proved.

**Lemma 2.3.** Let $\varphi^{(N)} \in \hat{C}^{\delta}(0, T)$. Then there exists a unique solution $q^{(N)} \in \hat{C}^{\delta}(0, T)$ to (2.17) and

$$|q^{(N)}(t)| \leq \frac{\lambda N}{\beta_1^2} \exp(\lambda_1 t) \sup_{\tau \in [0, t]} |\varphi^{(N)}(\tau)|, \quad (2.31)$$

$$\|q^{(N)}; C^{\delta}(0, T)\| \leq c \exp(2\lambda_1 T) \|\varphi^{(N)}; \hat{C}^{\delta}(0, T)\|. \quad (2.32)$$

To prove this lemma it suffices to take $q^{(N)} = B_N^{-1} g^{(N)}$ and to apply (2.22), (2.23), (2.26), (2.27).

Denote by $\hat{C}^{l+\delta}(0, T)$ the subspace of all functions in $C^{l+\delta}(0, T)$, satisfying the conditions

$$h(0) = 0, \quad \frac{d}{dt} h(0) = 0, \quad \ldots, \quad \frac{d^l}{dt^l} h(0) = 0. \quad (2.33)$$

**Lemma 2.4.** Let $\varphi^{(N)} \in \hat{C}^{l+\delta}(0, T), l \geq 0$. Then there exists a unique solution $q^{(N)} \in \hat{C}^{l+\delta}(0, T)$ to (2.17) and

$$\left| \frac{d^s}{dt^s} q^{(N)}(t) \right| \leq \frac{\lambda N}{\beta_1^2} \exp(\lambda_1 t) \sup_{\tau \in (0, t)} \left| \frac{d^s}{d\tau^s} \varphi^{(N)}(\tau) \right|, \quad s = 0, \ldots, l, \quad (2.34)$$

$$\|q^{(N)}; C^{l+\delta}(0, T)\| \leq c \exp(2\lambda_1 T) \|\varphi^{(N)}; \hat{C}^{l+\delta}(0, T)\|. \quad (2.35)$$
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Proof. Differentiating (2.17) we find that,

\[ q^{(N)'}(t) - \frac{1}{\kappa_N} \sum_{k=1}^{N} \beta_k^2 \lambda_k q^{(N)}(t) - \]

\[ - \frac{1}{\kappa_N} \sum_{k=1}^{N} \beta_k^2 \lambda_k \int_0^t \frac{d}{dt} \left( \exp(-\lambda_k(t-\tau)) \right) q^{(N)}(\tau) d\tau = \varphi^{(N)'}(t). \]

Integrating by parts in the third term and using the condition \( q^{(N)}(0) = \varphi^{(N)}(0) = 0 \), we rewrite this equation as

\[ q^{(N)'}(t) - \frac{1}{\kappa_N} \sum_{k=1}^{N} \beta_k^2 \lambda_k \int_0^t \exp(-\lambda_k(t-\tau)) q^{(N)'}(\tau) d\tau = \varphi^{(N)'}(t). \]

Thus, for \( q^{(N)'}(t) \) we obtain an equation analogous to (2.17). Since \( \varphi^{(N)}(t) \in \hat{C}^{l+\delta}(0, T) \), i.e., \( \frac{d^s}{ds^s} \varphi^{(N)}(0) = 0, s = 0, \ldots, l \), the derivatives \( \frac{d^s}{ds^s} q^{(N)}(t), s = 1, \ldots, l \), satisfy (2.17) with the right-hand side equal to \( \frac{d^s}{ds^s} \varphi^{(N)}(t) \). Lemma 2.3 implies that \( \frac{d^s}{ds^s} q^{(N)}(t) \in \hat{C}^{l+\delta}(0, T) \), and because of (2.31) and (2.32), the estimates (2.34) and (2.35) holds. The lemma is proved.

2.4 Existence of a solution to problem (2.11)

Let us prove first that the sequence \( q^{(N)}(t) \) converges in the norm of the space \( C^{l+\delta}(0, T) \).

Lemma 2.5. If \( F(t) \in \hat{C}^{l+1+\delta}(0, T) \) with, \( l \geq 0 \) and \( \delta \in (0, 1) \), then the sequence \( q^{(N)}(t) \) converges in the norm of \( C^{l+\delta}(0, T) \), and the limit function \( q(t) \in \hat{C}^{l+\delta}(0, T) \) satisfies the inequalities

\[ \left| \frac{d^s}{dt^s} q(t) \right| \leq \frac{|\sigma|}{\beta^2} \exp(\lambda_1 t) \sup_{\tau \in [0,t]} \left| \frac{d^{s+1}}{d\tau^{s+1}} F(\tau) \right|, \quad s = 0, \ldots, l, \quad (2.36) \]

\[ \| q; C^{l+\delta}(0, T) \| \leq c \exp(2\lambda_1 T) \| F; C^{l+1+\delta}(0, T) \|. \quad (2.37) \]

Proof. It is easy to see that the difference \( Q^{(N,M)}(t) = q^{(N+M)}(t) - q^{(N)}(t) \)
satisfies the relation

\[
Q^{(N,M)}(t) - \frac{1}{\kappa_N} \sum_{k=1}^{N} \beta_k^2 \lambda_k \int_{0}^{t} \exp(-\lambda_k(t-\tau))Q^{(N,M)}(\tau) \, d\tau =
\]

\[
= \left( \frac{1}{\kappa_{N+M}} - \frac{1}{\kappa_N} \right) \sum_{k=1}^{N} \beta_k^2 \lambda_k \int_{0}^{t} \exp(-\lambda_k(t-\tau))q^{(N+M)}(\tau) \, d\tau +
\]

\[
+ \frac{1}{\kappa_{N+M}} \sum_{k=N+1}^{N+M} \beta_k^2 \lambda_k \int_{0}^{t} \exp(-\lambda_k(t-\tau))q^{(N+M)}(\tau) \, d\tau +
\]

\[
+ (\varphi^{(N+M)}(t) - \varphi^{(N)}(t)) \equiv I_1^{(N,M)}(t) + I_2^{(N,M)}(t) + I_3^{(N,M)}(t).
\]

Since

\[
\lim_{N \to \infty} \kappa_N = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \beta_k^2 = |\sigma|, \quad \varphi^{(N)}(t) = F'(t) / \kappa_N,
\]

we find using (2.35) that

\[
\|I_1^{(N,M)}; C^{l+\delta}(0, T)\| \leq c \left| \frac{1}{\kappa_{N+M}} - \frac{1}{\kappa_N} \right| \sum_{k=1}^{N} \beta_k^2 \|q^{(N+M)}; C^{l+\delta}(0, T)\| \leq
\]

\[
\leq c \left| \frac{1}{\kappa_{N+M}} - \frac{1}{\kappa_N} \right| \sum_{k=1}^{N} \beta_k^2 \exp(2\lambda_1 T) \|\varphi^{(N+M)}; C^{l+\delta}(0, T)\| \leq
\]

\[
\leq c \left| \frac{1}{\kappa_{N+M}} - \frac{1}{\kappa_N} \right| \exp(2\lambda_1 T) \|F'; C^{l+\delta}(0, T)\| \to 0,
\]

\[
\|I_2^{(N,M)}; C^{l+\delta}(0, T)\| \leq
\]

\[
\leq \frac{c}{\kappa_{N+M}} \exp(2\lambda_1 T) \|F'; C^{l+\delta}(0, T)\| \sum_{k=N+1}^{N+M} \beta_k^2 \to 0,
\]

\[
\|I_3^{(N,M)}; C^{l+\delta}(0, T)\| = \left| \frac{1}{\kappa_{N+M}} - \frac{1}{\kappa_N} \right| \|F'; C^{l+\delta}(0, T)\| \to 0,
\]

as \(N, M \to \infty\). Since the function \(Q^{(N,M)}(t)\) is a solution to (2.17), by Lemma 2.4 (see the estimate (2.35)) we have

\[
\|Q^{(N,M)}; C^{l+\delta}(0, T)\| \leq
\]
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\[
\leq 2^{2N/P^2} \exp(2\lambda_1 T) \| (I_1^{(N,M)} + I_2^{(N,M)} + I_3^{(N,M)}) C^{d+\delta}(0,T) \| \to 0
\]
as \( N, M \to \infty \). Thus, \( \{q^N(t)\} \) is a Cauchy sequence in \( \hat{C}^{d+\delta}(0,T) \), and so there exists a limit function \( q(t) \in \hat{C}^{d+\delta}(0,T) \). Estimates (2.36) and (2.37) for \( q(t) \) follow from the corresponding estimates for \( q^N(t) \). The lemma is proved.

Now we shall prove the main result of the chapter.

**Theorem 2.1.** Let \( \partial \sigma \in C^{2l+2+2\delta} \), \( F(t) \in C^{l+1+\delta}(0,T) \) and \( a(x) \in C^{2l+2+2\delta}(0,T) \) with \( l \geq 0, \delta \in (0, 1/2) \). Suppose the compatibility conditions of order \( l + 1 \)

\[
(\Delta^m a(x'))|_{\partial \sigma} = 0, \quad \frac{\partial^{m} F(0)}{\partial t^m} = \int_{\sigma} \Delta^m a(x') dx, \quad m = 0, \ldots, l + 1, \quad (2.38)
\]

are valid. Then there exists a unique solution

\[
(v(x', t), q(t)) \in C^{2l+2+2\delta, l+1+\delta}(\sigma \times (0,T)) \times \hat{C}^{d+\delta}(0,T)
\]
to problem (2.11), and

\[
\|v; C^{2l+2+2\delta, l+1+\delta}(0,T)\| + \|q; C^{d+\delta}(0,T)\| \leq c(T) \|F; C^{l+1+\delta}(0,T)\|. \quad (2.39)
\]

**Proof.** Consider first the case \( a(x') \equiv 0 \). Suppose that \( F \in \hat{C}^{d+1+\delta}(0,T) \). By construction, the function

\[
v^{(N)}(x', t) = \sum_{k=1}^{N} \beta_k \left( \int_{0}^{t} \exp(-\lambda_k (t - \tau)) q^{(N)}(\tau, x') d\tau \right) u_k(x')
\]
solves the initial-boundary value problem:

\[
\begin{cases}
    v^{(N)}_t(x', t) - \nu \Delta v^{(N)}(x', t) = f^{(N)}(x', t), \\
    v^{(N)}(x', t)|_{\partial \sigma} = 0, \\
    v^{(N)}(x', 0) = 0,
\end{cases} \quad (2.40)
\]

where \( f^{(N)}(x', t) = q^{(N)}(t) \sum_{k=1}^{N} \beta_k u_k(x') \). Thus (see for instance [32], [75]),

\[
\|v^{(N)}; W^{1,1}_2(\sigma \times (0,T))\| \leq c \|f^{(N)}; L^2_2(\sigma \times (0,T))\|, \quad (2.41)
\]
and the constant $c$ is independent of $N$. Using Lemma 2.5, it is easy to show that the sequence
\[
\{ f^{(N)}(x', t) \} = \left\{ q^{(N)}(t) \sum_{k=1}^{N} \beta_k u_k(x') \right\}
\]
converges in the norm of $L_2(\sigma \times (0, T))$ to $q(t)$. Since the problem (2.40) is linear, the difference
\[
V^{(N,M)}(x', t) = v^{(N+M)}(x', t) - v^{(N)}(x', t)
\]
is a solution to the analogous problem with the right-hand side $f^{(N+M)}(x', t) - f^{(N)}(x', t)$. By (2.41) we conclude that $v^{(N)}(t)$ is a Cauchy sequence in $W^{1,1}_2(\sigma \times (0, T))$, and so the limit
\[
\lim_{N \to \infty} v^{(N)}(x', t) = v(x', t) \in W^{1,1}_2(\sigma \times (0, T))
\]
exists, yielding a generalized solution to
\[
\begin{cases}
vt(x', t) - \nu \Delta v(x', t) = q(t), \\
v(x', t)|_{\partial \sigma} = 0, \quad (2.42) \\
v(x', 0) = 0.
\end{cases}
\]
The right-hand side $q(t) \in C^{l+\delta}(0, T)$ of (2.42) depends only on $t$. Thus, $q(t) \in C^{2l+2\delta,l+\delta}(\sigma \times (0, T))$, and
\[
\|q; C^{2l+2\delta,l+\delta}(\sigma \times (0, T))\| \leq c\|q; C^{l+\delta}(0, T)\|.
\]
Furthermore, $q(t)$ satisfies the compatibility conditions
\[
q(0) = \ldots = \frac{d^l}{dt^l}q(0) = 0.
\]
Consequently (see [32], [75]), $v \in C^{2l+2+2\delta,l+1+\delta}(\sigma \times (0, T))$ and
\[
\|v; C^{2l+2+2\delta,l+1+\delta}(\sigma \times (0, T))\| \leq c\|q; C^{2l+2+2\delta,l+\delta}(\sigma \times (0, T))\| \leq c\|q; C^{l+\delta}(0, T)\| \leq c \exp(2\lambda_1 T)\|F; C^{l+1+\delta}(0, T)\|.
\]
By construction, for all $N$ the functions $v^{(N)}(x', t)$ satisfy the flux condition
\[ \int_{\sigma} v^{(N)}(x', t) dx = F(t), \quad \forall t \in [0, T], \]
which holds for the limit function $v(x', t)$ as well. Therefore, $(v(x', t), q(t))$ is a solution to the inverse problem (2.11) for $a(x') \equiv 0$ and estimate (2.39) holds.

Consider now the case of an arbitrary $a(x') \in C_l^{l+2+\delta}(\sigma)$. Look for a solution of the problem (2.11) in the form of the sum $(v(x', t), q(t)) = (v_1(x', t), 0) + (v_2(x', t), q(t))$, where $v_1(x', t)$ is a solution to the initial-boundary value problem
\[
\begin{cases}
  v_{1t}(x', t) - \nu \Delta v_1(x', t) = 0,
  \\
  v_1(x', t)|_{\partial \sigma} = 0,
  \\
  v_1(x', 0) = a(x').
\end{cases}
\]

(2.43)

Since $a(x')$ satisfies the compatibility conditions of order $l + 1$ (see (2.38)), there exists a unique solution $v_1(x', t)$ to (2.43), satisfying the estimate
\[
\|v_1; C^{2l+2+2\delta,l+1+\delta}(\sigma \times (0, T))\| \leq c\|a; C^{2l+2+2\delta}(\sigma)\|. \tag{2.44}
\]

For $(v_2(x', t), q(t))$ we obtain the inverse problem
\[
\begin{cases}
  v_{2t}(x', t) - \nu \Delta v_2(x', t) = q(t),
  \\
  v_2(x', t)|_{\partial \sigma} = 0,
  \\
  v_2(x', 0) = 0,
\end{cases}
\]

(2.45)

\[ \int_{\sigma} v_2(x') dx' = F(t) - \int_{\sigma} v_1(x', t) dx' := \tilde{F}(t). \]

Using the second equalities in the compatibility conditions (2.38), we see that
\[
\frac{d^m}{dt^m} \tilde{F}(0) = 0, \quad m = 0, \ldots, l + 1.
\]

Moreover, $\tilde{F}(t) \in \tilde{C}^{l+1+\delta}(0, T)$ and
\[
\|\tilde{F}; \tilde{C}^{l+1+\delta}(0, T)\| \leq c(\|F; \tilde{C}^{l+1+\delta}(0, T)\| + \|v_1; C^{2l+2+2\delta,l+1+\delta}(\sigma \times (0, T))\|) \leq
\]
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\[ \leq c(\|F; \tilde{C}^{l+1+\delta}(0, T)\| + \|a; C^{2l+2+2\delta}(\sigma)\|). \]

Therefore, there exists a solution \((v_2(x', t), q(t)) \in C^{2l+2+2\delta, l+1+\delta}(\sigma \times (0, T)) \times \tilde{C}^{l+\delta}(0, T)\) to (2.45), satisfying the estimate

\[ \|v_2; C^{2l+2+2\delta, l+1+\delta}(\sigma \times (0, T))\| + \|q; C^{l+\delta}(0, T)\| \leq c(T)\|\tilde{F}; C^{l+1+\delta}(0, T)\| \leq c(T)\left(\|F; \tilde{C}^{l+1+\delta}(0, T)\| + \|a; C^{2l+2+2\delta}(\sigma)\|\right). \]

Thus, \((v(x', t), q(t))\), where \(v(x') = v_1(x', t) + v_2(x', t)\), is a solution to (2.11), and estimate (2.39) holds.

Let us prove the uniqueness of the solution. Take \(F(t) \equiv 0\) and \(a(x') \equiv 0\).

Multiply (2.11) by \(v(x', t)\) and then integrating by parts in \(\sigma\) we get:

\[ \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\sigma} |v(x', t)|^2 \, dx' + \nu \int_{\sigma} |\nabla v(x', t)|^2 \, dx' = q(t) \int_{\sigma} v(x', t) \, dx' = 0. \]

Integrate the last equality with respect to \(t\),

\[ \frac{1}{2} \int_{\sigma} |v(x', t)|^2 \, dx' + \nu \int_{0}^{t} \int_{\sigma} |\nabla v(x', \tau)|^2 \, dx' \, d\tau = 0. \]

Hence, \(v(x', t) = 0\), and from (2.11) we find that \(q(t) = 0\). The theorem is proved.

Let us consider in the infinite cylinder \(\Pi = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n, n = 2, 3 : x' = (x_1, ..., x_{n-1}) \in \omega, x_n \in \mathbb{R}\}\) the Navier-Stokes equations with time periodic conditions, i.e., we consider the following problem:

\[
\begin{cases}
  u_t(x, t) - \nu \Delta u(x, t) + (u(x, t) \cdot \nabla u(x, t)) + \nabla p(x, t) = f(x, t), \\
  \text{div} u = 0, \\
  u(x, 0) = u(x, T), \\
  u(x, 0)|_{\partial \Pi} = a(x).
\end{cases}
\]

(2.46)

We look for a solution of problem (2.46) having a prescribed time periodic flux
$F(t)$ through the cross-section $\sigma$:

$$\int_\sigma u_n(x, t)dx = F(t), \quad F(0) = F(T). \quad (2.47)$$

We assume that the initial data has the form $a = (0, \ldots, 0, a_n)$, where $a_n = a_n(x')$ does not depend on $x_n$. We also suppose that external force $f$ has the form $f = (0, \ldots, 0, f_n(x', t))$, where $f_n(x', t)$ is a time periodic function: $f_n(x', 0) = f_n(x', T)$.

The Poiseuille solution of problem (2.46), (2.47) has the form

$$U(x, t) = (0, \ldots, 0, U_n(x', t)), \quad P(x, t) = -q(t)x_n. \quad (2.48)$$

Substituting (2.4) into (2.1), we get for $v(x', t)$ and $q(t)$ the following problem for the heat equation

$$\begin{cases}
U_{nt}(x', t) - \nu \Delta U_n(x', t) = q(t) + f_n(x', t), \\
U_n(x', t)|_{\partial \sigma} = 0, \\
U_n(x', 0) = U_n(x', 2\pi), \\
\int_\sigma U_n(x', t)dx' = F(t).
\end{cases} \quad (2.49)$$

**Theorem 2.2.** There exists the time periodic Poiseuille solution $(U(x), P(x, t))$, i.e. the exact solution of the time periodic Stokes (and Navier–Stokes) problem (2.46), having the form (2.48) and satisfying the flux condition

$$\int_\sigma U_n(x', t)dx' = F(t).$$

The pair of functions $(U_n(x', t), q(t))$ is the solution of problem (2.49). For any periodic $F(t)$ the problem (2.49) admits a unique time periodic solution $(U_n(x', t), q(t)) \in \left( W^{2,1}_\sigma(0, 2\pi) \times L^2(0, 2\pi) \right)$. Moreover, there holds the estimate

$$\|U_n; W^{2,1}_\sigma(0, 2\pi)\|^2 + \|q; L^2(0, 2\pi)\|^2 \leq c\|F; W^1_2(0, 2\pi)\|^2. \quad (2.50)$$
2.4. Existence of a solution to problem (2.11)

Theorem 2.2 is proved in [8], [19].
The results obtained in this chapter is presented in [A1].
3

Time periodic Stokes problem

3.1 Formulation of the problem

In this chapter we study in the domain $\Omega$ with cylindrical outlets to infinity the linear time periodic $^1$ Stokes problem:

$$\begin{cases}
\mathbf{u}_t(x, t) - \nu \Delta \mathbf{u}(x, t) + \nabla p(x, t) = f(x, t), \\
\text{div} \mathbf{u}(x, t) = 0, \\
\mathbf{u}(x, t) \bigg|_{\partial\Omega} = 0, \\
\mathbf{u}(x, 0) = \mathbf{u}(x, 2\pi), \\
\int_{\sigma_j} \mathbf{u}(x, t) \cdot \mathbf{n}(x) \, ds = F_j(t), \quad j = 1, \ldots, J,
\end{cases}
$$

with prescribed fluxes $F_j(t)$ over cross-sections $\sigma_j$ of outlets to infinity. We assume that the external force $f(x, t)$ and the fluxes $F_j(t)$ are time periodic functions with the period $2\pi$:

$$f(x, 0) = f(x, 2\pi), \quad F_j(0) = F_j(2\pi), \quad j = 1, \ldots, J.
$$

$^1$without loss of generality we assume the period is equal to $2\pi$
Moreover, we suppose that there holds the necessary compatibility condition
\[
\sum_{j=1}^{J} F_j(t) = 0, \quad \forall t \in [0, 2\pi].
\]

We shall prove that problem (3.1) admits a unique solution \((u, p)\) that tends in each outlet to infinity to the time periodic Poiseuille flow corresponding to the cylinder \(\Pi_j\) and the flux \(F_j(t)\).

### 3.2 Solvability of problem (3.1) with zero fluxes

First we consider in \(\Omega \times (0, 2\pi)\) the time periodic Stokes problem assuming that all fluxes \(F_j(t), j = 1, ..., J\), are equal to zero, i.e., consider the problem

\[
\begin{cases}
\partial_t u(x, t) - \nu \Delta u(x, t) + \nabla p(x, t) = f(x, t), \\
\text{div} u(x, t) = 0, \\
\partial_{\nu} u(x, t) = 0, \\
|u(x, t)| = u(x, 2\pi), \\
\int_{\Sigma_j} u(x, t) \cdot n(x) ds = 0, \quad j = 1, ..., J.
\end{cases}
\]

(3.2)

**Definition.** By the weak solution of problem (3.2) we call time periodic function \(u \in W^{1,1}_2(\Omega \times (0, 2\pi))\) satisfying the condition
\[
\text{div} u(x, t) = 0,
\]
and the integral identity
\[
\int_0^{2\pi} \int_\Omega u_t(x, t) \cdot \eta(x, t) \, dx \, dt + \nu \int_0^{2\pi} \int_\Omega \nabla u(x, t) \cdot \nabla \eta(x, t) \, dx \, dt = 0.
\]
3.2. Solvability of problem (3.1) with zero fluxes

\[ 2\pi \int_0^2 \int_\Omega f(x, t) \cdot \eta(x, t) dx dt, \quad (3.3) \]

for every divergence free time periodic \( \eta(x, t) \in \dot{W}^{1,0}_2 (\Omega \times (0, 2\pi)) \).

Note that each divergence free vector-field \( u(x, t) \) equal to zero on \( \partial \Omega \) and such \( \nabla u(\cdot, t) \in \dot{W}^{1,0}_2 (\Omega) \) has zero fluxes over all cross-sections \( \sigma_j \), i.e.,

\[ \int_{\sigma_j} u(x, t) \cdot n(x) dS = 0, \quad j = 1, \ldots, J. \]

First, let us construct an approximate solution to problem (3.2). The right-hand side \( f(x, t) \) is time periodic and \( f(x, t) \in L^2(\Omega \times (0, 2\pi)) \). Therefore \( f(x, t) \) may be represented as the Fourier series

\[ f(x, t) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left( f_{sn}(x) \sin(nt) + f_{cn}(x) \cos(nt) \right), \]

where \( f_{sn}(x), f_{cn}(x) \in L^2(\Omega), \; n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots \).

We look for an approximate solution \( u_N(x, t) \) of problem (3.2) in the form

\[ u_N(x, t) = \sum_{n=0}^{N} \left( a_n(x) \sin(nt) + b_n(x) \cos(nt) \right), \quad (3.4) \]

where the coefficients \((a_n, b_n) \in \dot{W}^{1,0}_2 (\Omega)\) are found as divergence free solutions to the system of the integral identities

\[ \begin{aligned}
  -n \int_\Omega b_n(x) \cdot \eta(x) dx + \nu \int_\Omega \nabla a_n(x) \cdot \nabla \eta(x) dx &= \int_\Omega f_{sn}(x) \cdot \eta(x) dx, \\
  n \int_\Omega a_n(x) \cdot \xi(x) dx + \nu \int_\Omega \nabla b_n(x) \cdot \nabla \xi(x) dx &= \int_\Omega f_{cn}(x) \cdot \xi(x) dx,
\end{aligned} \quad (3.5) \]

where \( \eta(x) \) and \( \xi(x) \) are arbitrary divergence free functions in \( \dot{W}^{1,0}_2 (\Omega) \), i.e., \((a_n(x), b_n(x)), n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots \), are weak solutions of the following stationary
“Stokes type” problems

\[
\begin{aligned}
-n \mathbf{b}_n(x) - \nu \Delta \mathbf{a}_n(x) + \nabla p_{sn}(x) &= \mathbf{f}_{sn}(x), \\
\mathbf{a}_n(x) - \nu \Delta \mathbf{b}_n(x) + \nabla p_{cn}(x) &= \mathbf{f}_{cn}(x), \\
\text{div} \mathbf{a}_n(x) &= 0, \quad \text{div} \mathbf{b}_n(x) = 0, \\
\mathbf{a}_n(x) \bigg|_{\partial \Omega} &= 0, \quad \mathbf{b}_n(x) \bigg|_{\partial \Omega} = 0.
\end{aligned}
\]

The solution of problem (3.6) is unique. Indeed, let \( \mathbf{f}_{sn}(x) = \mathbf{f}_{cn}(x) = 0 \). Take in (3.5.1) \( \eta(x) = \mathbf{a}_n(x) \) and in (3.5.2) \( \xi(x) = \mathbf{b}_n(x) \). Summing the obtained inequalities, we derive

\[
\nu \int_\Omega |\nabla \mathbf{a}_n^k(x)|^2 dx + \nu \int_\Omega |\nabla \mathbf{b}_n^k(x)|^2 dx = 0
\]

and, hence, \( \mathbf{a}_n^k(x) = \mathbf{b}_n^k(x) = 0 \). Moreover, it is straightforward to verify the following a priori estimate

\[
\|\mathbf{a}_n; W_1^2(\Omega)\|^2 + \|\mathbf{b}_n; W_2^1(\Omega)\|^2 \\
\leq c \left( \|\mathbf{f}_{sn}; L_2(\Omega)\|^2 + \|\mathbf{f}_{cn}; L_2(\Omega)\|^2 \right) \quad \forall n = 0, 1, \ldots
\]

with the constant \( c \) independent of \( n \). Therefore, using standard for elliptic problems arguments (e.g. [6]), we conclude

\[\textbf{Lemma 3.1.}\] Let \( \mathbf{f}_{cn}(x), \mathbf{f}_{sn}(x) \in L_2(\Omega) \). Then problem (3.5) admits a unique weak solution \( (\mathbf{a}_n(x), \mathbf{b}_n(x)) \in W_2^1(\Omega) \) satisfying the estimate (3.7).

Let us prove that the approximate solutions \( \mathbf{u}_N(x, t) \) defined by (3.4) converge to a weak solution of problem (3.2).

\[\textbf{Theorem 3.1.}\] Let \( \mathbf{f}(x, t) \in L_2(\Omega \times (0, 2\pi)) \) be time periodic function. Then problem (3.2) admits a unique time periodic weak solution \( \mathbf{u}(x, t) \in W_2^{1,1}(\Omega \times (0, 2\pi)) \) and there holds the estimate

\[
\sup_{t \in [0, 2\pi]} \|\mathbf{u}(\cdot, t); \dot{W}_2^1(\Omega)\|^2 + \|\mathbf{u}; W_2^{1,1}(\Omega \times (0, 2\pi))\|^2
\]
3.2. Solvability of problem (3.1) with zero fluxes

\[ \leq c ||f; L_2(\Omega \times (0, 2\pi))||^2. \] (3.8)

**Proof.** From the definition of \( u_N(x, t) \) and \( a_n(x) \), \( b_n(x) \) (see (3.4) and (3.5)) it follows that there holds the integral identity

\[ \int_\Omega u_N(x, t) \cdot \eta(x) dx + \nu \int_\Omega \nabla u_N(x, t) \cdot \nabla \eta(x) dx = \int_\Omega f_N(x, t) \cdot \eta(x) dx, \] (3.9)

where

\[ f_N(x, t) = \sum_{n=0}^N (f_{sn}(x) \sin(nt) + f_{cn}(x) \cos(nt)) \]

and \( \eta(x) \) is an arbitrary divergence free function in \( \bar{W}_2^1(\Omega) \). In order to prove (3.9), it is sufficient to take in (3.5) \( \eta(x) = \xi(x) \), to multiply (3.5) by \( \sin(nt) \), (3.5) by \( \cos(nt) \) and to sum the obtained relations. Taking in (3.9) \( \eta = u_N(x, t) \) and using Young and Poincaré inequalities we derive

\[ \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int_\Omega |u_N(x, t)|^2 dx + \frac{\nu}{2} \int_\Omega |\nabla u_N(x, t)|^2 dx \leq c \int_\Omega |f_N(x, t)|^2 dx. \] (3.10)

Integrating (3.10) with respect to \( t \) over the interval \((0, 2\pi)\), we get, in view of the periodicity of \( u_N(x, t) \), that

\[ \int_0^{2\pi} \int_\Omega |\nabla u_N(x, t)|^2 dx dt \leq c \int_0^{2\pi} \int_\Omega |f_N(x, t)|^2 dx dt. \] (3.11)

Let us take now in (3.9) \( \eta = t u_N(x, t) \):

\[ \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int_\Omega t|u_N(x, t)|^2 dx + \nu t \int_\Omega |\nabla u_N(x, t)|^2 dx = t \int_\Omega f_N(x, t) \cdot u_N(x, t) dx \]

\[ + \frac{1}{2} \int_\Omega |u_N(x, t)|^2 dx \leq \frac{t^2}{2} \int_\Omega |f_N(x, t)|^2 dx + \int_\Omega |u_N(x, t)|^2 dx. \]

Integrating the last inequality over the interval \((0, 2\pi)\), we get using Poincaré inequality and (3.11)

\[ \pi \int_\Omega |u_N(x, 2\pi)|^2 dx \leq 2\pi^2 \int_0^{2\pi} |f_N(x, t)|^2 dx dt + \int_0^{2\pi} |u_N(x, t)|^2 dx dt \]
\[ \leq 2\pi^2 \int_0^{2\pi} \int_{\Omega} |f_N(x,t)|^2 dx dt + c \int_0^{2\pi} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_N(x,t)|^2 dx dt \leq c \int_0^{2\pi} \int_{\Omega} |f_N(x,t)|^2 dx dt \]

and, since \( u_N(x,0) = u_N(x,2\pi) \), we have

\[ \int_{\Omega} |u_N(x,0)|^2 dx \leq c \int_0^{2\pi} \int_{\Omega} |f_N(x,t)|^2 dx dt. \]

Let us take in (3.9) \( \eta = tu_{Nt}(x,t) \):

\[ t \int_{\Omega} |u_{Nt}(x,t)|^2 dx + \frac{\nu}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_N(x,t)|^2 dx = t \int_{\Omega} f_N(x,t) \cdot u_{Nt}(x,t) dx \]

\[ + \frac{\nu}{2} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_N(x,t)|^2 dx \leq \frac{t}{2} \int_{\Omega} |f_N(x,t)|^2 dx dt + \frac{t}{2} \int_{\Omega} |u_{Nt}(x,t)|^2 dx dt \]

\[ + \frac{\nu}{2} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_N(x,t)|^2 dx. \]

Therefore,

\[ \frac{\nu}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} t|\nabla u_N(x,t)|^2 dx \leq \frac{t}{2} \int_{\Omega} |f_N(x,t)|^2 dx dt + \frac{\nu}{2} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_N(x,t)|^2 dx dt. \]

Integrating over \((0,2\pi)\), using the periodicity condition \( \nabla u_N(x,0) = \nabla u_N(x,2\pi) \) and (3.11), we conclude

\[ \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_N(x,0)|^2 dx \leq c \int_0^{2\pi} \int_{\Omega} |f_N(x,t)|^2 dx dt. \]

Thus, \( u_N(\cdot,0) \in \dot{W}^{1,2}_2(\Omega) \) and

\[ ||u_N(\cdot,0); \dot{W}^{1,2}_2(\Omega)|| \leq c \int_0^{2\pi} ||f_N(\cdot,t); L_2(\Omega)||^2 dt \]
3.2. Solvability of problem (3.1) with zero fluxes

\[ \leq c \int_0^{2\pi} ||f(\cdot, t); L_2(\Omega)||^2 dt. \] (3.12)

Now arguing in the usual way (as in the case of initial–boundary value problem, e. g. [27]), we derive the estimate

\[ \sup_{t \in [0, 2\pi]} ||u_N(\cdot, t); W_2^1(\Omega)||^2 + \frac{2\pi}{\int_0^{2\pi} ||u_{Nt}(\cdot, t); W_2^1(\Omega)||^2 dt} \leq c \left( ||u_N(\cdot, 0); W_2^1(\Omega)||^2 + \frac{2\pi}{\int_0^{2\pi} ||f(\cdot, t); L_2(\Omega)||^2 dt} \right) \] (3.13)

Thus, the sequence \( \{u_N(x, t)\} \) is bounded in the norm of the space \( W_2^{1,1}(\Omega \times (0, 2\pi)) \) and there exists a weakly convergent in \( W_2^{1,1}(\Omega \times (0, 2\pi)) \) subsequence \( \{u_{N_l}(x, t)\} \). It is easy to conclude from (3.9) that every \( u_{N_l}(x, t) \) satisfies the integral identity

\[ \int_0^{2\pi} \int_\Omega u_{N_l t}(x, t) \cdot \eta(x, t) dx dt + \int_0^{2\pi} \int_\Omega \nabla u_{N_l}(x, t) \cdot \nabla \eta(x, t) dx dt = \int_0^{2\pi} \int_\Omega f_{N_l}(x, t) \cdot \eta(x, t) dx dt \] (3.14)

with any \( \eta(x, t) \) having the form

\[ \eta(x, t) = \sum_{n=0}^L \left( \eta_{sn}(x) \sin(nt) + \eta_{cn}(x) \cos(nt) \right). \] (3.15)

In (3.15) the functions \( \eta_{sn}(x), \eta_{cn}(x) \in W_2^1(\Omega), n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots \) are divergence free. Passing \( N_l \to \infty \) in (3.14), we find that the limit function \( u(x, t) \) satisfies the integral identity (3.3) for every \( \eta(x, t) \) having the representation (3.15). Any time periodic divergence free function belonging to the space \( W_2^{1,0}(\Omega \times (0, 2\pi)) \) could be decomposed in a Fourier series with coefficients in \( W_2^1(\Omega) \). Therefore, the sums (3.15) are dense in the space of time periodic divergence free functions from \( W_2^{1,0}(\Omega \times (0, 2\pi)) \). Hence, \( u(x, t) \) satisfies the integral identity (3.3) for any
3.3 Estimates of the solution in weighted function spaces

In this section we shall prove that the solution of problem (3.2) belongs to the weighted function space provided that the right-hand side \( f(x, t) \) belongs to the corresponding weighted space.

**Theorem 3.2.** Let \( f(x, t) \in L_{2, \beta}(\Omega \times (0, 2\pi)), \beta_j \geq 0, j = 1, \ldots, J, \) be time periodic function. If the number \( \gamma_* \) in inequality (1.33) is sufficiently small, then the time periodic weak solution \( u(x, t) \) of the problem (3.2) belongs to the space \( W_{2, \beta}^{1, 1}(\Omega \times (0, 2\pi)) \) and there holds the estimate

\[
\sup_{t \in [0, 2\pi]} \|u(\cdot, t); W_{2, \beta}^{1, 1}(\Omega)\|^2 + \|u; W_{2, \beta}^{1, 1}(\Omega \times (0, 2\pi))\|^2 \leq c \|f; L_{2, \beta}(\Omega \times (0, 2\pi))\|^2. \tag{3.16}
\]

Moreover, if \( \partial\Omega \in C^2 \), then \( u \in W_{2, \beta}^{2, 1}(\Omega \times (0, 2\pi)), \nabla p \in L_{2, \beta}(\Omega \times (0, 2\pi)) \) and there holds the estimate

\[
\|u; W_{2, \beta}^{2, 1}(\Omega \times (0, 2\pi))\|^2 + \|\nabla p; L_{2, \beta}(\Omega \times (0, 2\pi))\|^2 \leq c \|f; L_{2, \beta}(\Omega \times (0, 2\pi))\|^2. \tag{3.17}
\]

**Proof.** Let us take in the integral identity (3.3) \( \eta(x, t) = E_{\beta}^{(k)}(x)u(x, t) + W^{(k)}(x, t), \) where \( E_{\beta}^{(k)}(x) \) is the “step” weight function (1.5) and \( W^{(k)}(x, t) \) is the vector-field from Lemma 1.7. This gives

\[
\int_0^{2\pi} \int_\Omega E_{\beta}^{(k)}(x)u_{\iota}(x, t) \cdot u(x, t) dx dt + \int_0^{2\pi} \int_\Omega u_{\iota}(x, t) \cdot W^{(k)}(x, t) dx dt \\
+ \nu \int_0^{2\pi} \int_\Omega \nabla u(x, t) \cdot \nabla (E_{\beta}^{(k)}(x)u(x, t) + W^{(k)}(x, t)) dx dt
\]
3.3. Estimates of the solution in weighted function spaces

\[ \int_{0}^{2\pi} \int_{\Omega} f(x,t) \cdot (E_{\beta}^{(k)}(x)u(x,t) + W^{(k)}(x,t)) \, dx \, dt \]

\[ = \int_{0}^{2\pi} \int_{\Omega} f(x,t) \cdot (E_{\beta}^{(k)}(x)u(x,t) + W^{(k)}(x,t)) \, dx \, dt \quad (3.18) \]

(note that \( \eta \in \dot{W}_{2,0}^{1,0}(\Omega \times (0,2\pi)) \) and \( \nabla \eta(x,t) = 0, \forall t \in [0,2\pi] \)). Since

\[ \int_{0}^{2\pi} \int_{\Omega} E_{\beta}^{(k)}(x)u(x,t) \cdot u(x,t) \, dx \, dt = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} E_{\beta}^{(k)}(x)((u(x,2\pi))^2 - |u(x,0)|^2) \, dx = 0, \]

the relation (3.18) takes the form

\[ \nu \int_{0}^{2\pi} \int_{\Omega} E_{\beta}^{(k)}(x)|\nabla u(x,t)|^2 \, dx \, dt = -\int_{0}^{2\pi} \int_{\Omega} u_t(x,t) \cdot W^{(k)}(x,t) \, dx \, dt \]

\[ -\nu \int_{0}^{2\pi} \int_{\Omega} \nabla u(x,t) \cdot (\nabla E_{\beta}^{(k)}(x)u(x,t) + \nabla W^{(k)}(x,t)) \, dx \, dt \]

\[ + \int_{0}^{2\pi} \int_{\Omega} f(x,t) \cdot (E_{\beta}^{(k)}(x)u(x,t) + W^{(k)}(x,t)) \, dx \, dt = I_1 + I_2 + I_3. \quad (3.19) \]

We estimate the term \( I_1 \) using the Hölder inequality, weighted Poincaré inequality (1.12) and properties of the function \( W^{(k)}(x,t) \) (see (1.13) and (1.14)):

\[ |I_1| \leq c \left( \int_{0}^{2\pi} \int_{\Omega} E_{-\beta}^{(k)}(x)|W^{(k)}(x,t)|^2 \, dx \, dt \right)^{1/2} \left( \int_{0}^{2\pi} \int_{\Omega} E_{\beta}^{(k)}(x)|u_t(x,t)|^2 \, dx \, dt \right)^{1/2} \]

\[ \leq c \left( \int_{0}^{2\pi} \int_{\Omega} E_{-\beta}^{(k)}(x)|\nabla W^{(k)}(x,t)|^2 \, dx \, dt \right)^{1/2} \left( \int_{0}^{2\pi} \int_{\Omega} E_{\beta}^{(k)}(x)|u_t(x,t)|^2 \, dx \, dt \right)^{1/2} \]

\[ \leq c_1 \gamma \left[ \int_{0}^{2\pi} \int_{\Omega} E_{\beta}^{(k)}(x)|\nabla u(x,t)|^2 \, dx \, dt + \int_{0}^{2\pi} \int_{\Omega} E_{\beta}^{(k)}(x)|u_t(x,t)|^2 \, dx \, dt \right]. \quad (3.20) \]

Arguing analogously and using the property (1.3) of the weight-function \( E_{\beta}^{(k)}(x) \),
we get

\[ |I_2| \leq c \gamma_* \left( \int_0^{2\pi} \int_\Omega E^{(k)}_\beta(x)|\nabla u(x,t)|^2 \, dx \, dt \right)^{1/2} \left( \int_0^{2\pi} \int_\Omega E^{(k)}_\beta(x)|u(x,t)|^2 \, dx \, dt \right)^{1/2} \]

\[ + c \left( \int_0^{2\pi} \int_\Omega E^{(k)}_{-\beta}(x)|\nabla W^{(k)}(x,t)|^2 \, dx \, dt \right)^{1/2} \left( \int_0^{2\pi} \int_\Omega E^{(k)}_\beta(x)|\nabla u(x,t)|^2 \, dx \, dt \right)^{1/2} \]

\[ \leq c_2 \gamma_* \int_0^{2\pi} \int_\Omega E^{(k)}_\beta(x)|\nabla u(x,t)|^2 \, dx \, dt. \quad (3.21) \]

Finally,

\[ |I_3| \leq c_\varepsilon \int_0^{2\pi} \int_\Omega E^{(k)}_\beta(x)|f(x,t)|^2 \, dx \, dt + \varepsilon \left( \int_0^{2\pi} \int_\Omega E^{(k)}_\beta(x)|u(x,t)|^2 \, dx \, dt \right) \]

\[ + \int_0^{2\pi} \int_\Omega E^{(k)}_{-\beta}(x)|W^{(k)}(x,t)|^2 \, dx \, dt \]

\[ \leq c_\varepsilon \int_0^{2\pi} \int_\Omega E^{(k)}_\beta(x)|f(x,t)|^2 \, dx \, dt + c_\beta \varepsilon \int_0^{2\pi} \int_\Omega E^{(k)}_\beta(x)|\nabla u(x,t)|^2 \, dx \, dt. \quad (3.22) \]

From (3.19)–(3.22) it follows that

\[ \nu \int_0^{2\pi} \int_\Omega E^{(k)}_\beta(x)|u(x,t)|^2 \, dx \, dt \leq c_4 (\varepsilon + \gamma_*) \int_0^{2\pi} \int_\Omega E^{(k)}_\beta(x)|\nabla u(x,t)|^2 \, dx \, dt \]

\[ + c_1 \gamma_* \int_0^{2\pi} \int_\Omega E^{(k)}_\beta(x)|u_t(x,t)|^2 \, dx \, dt + c_\varepsilon \int_0^{2\pi} \int_\Omega E^{(k)}_\beta(x)|f(x,t)|^2 \, dx \, dt. \quad (3.23) \]

Next we take in the integral identity (3.3) \( \eta(x,t) = E^{(k)}_\beta(x)u_t(x,t) + W^{(k)}_t(x,t) \).
This gives
\[
\int_0^{2\pi} \int_\Omega E^{(k)}(x) |u_t(x, t)|^2 dx dt + \frac{\nu}{2} \int_0^{2\pi} \int_\Omega E^{(k)}(x) (|\nabla u(x, 2\pi)|^2 - |\nabla u(x, 0)|^2) dx \\
= - \int_0^{2\pi} \int_\Omega u_t(x, t) \cdot W^{(k)}_t(x, t) dx dt \\
- \nu \int_0^{2\pi} \int_\Omega \nabla u(x, t) \cdot (\nabla E^{(k)}(x) u_t(x, t) + \nabla W^{(k)}_t(x, t)) dx dt \\
+ \int_0^{2\pi} \int_\Omega f(x, t) \cdot (\nabla E^{(k)}(x) u_t(x, t) + W^{(k)}_t(x, t)) dx dt 
\]
(3.24)

Because of the periodicity condition the second term in the left–hand side of (3.24) is equal to zero. Estimating the right-hand side of (3.24) as above and using (1.14), we derive
\[
\int_0^{2\pi} \int_\Omega E^{(k)}(x) |u_t(x, t)|^2 dx dt \leq c_5 (\varepsilon + \gamma_s) \int_0^{2\pi} \int_\Omega E^{(k)}(x) |u_t(x, t)|^2 dx dt \\
+ c_6 \gamma_s \int_0^{2\pi} \int_\Omega E^{(k)}(x) |\nabla u(x, t)|^2 dx dt + c_8 \int_0^{2\pi} \int_\Omega E^{(k)}(x) |f(x, t)|^2 dx dt. 
\]
(3.25)

Summing the inequalities (3.23) and (3.25) yields
\[
\int_0^{2\pi} \int_\Omega E^{(k)}(x) (|u_t(x, t)|^2 + |\nabla u(x, t)|^2) dx dt \\
\leq c_7 (\varepsilon + \gamma_s) \int_0^{2\pi} \int_\Omega E^{(k)}(x) (|u_t(x, t)|^2 + |\nabla u(x, t)|^2) dx dt \\
+ c_8 \int_0^{2\pi} \int_\Omega E^{(k)}(x) |f(x, t)|^2 dx dt. 
\]
(3.26)
Let us take \( \varepsilon = \gamma_* \). If the number \( \gamma_* \) is sufficiently small, i. e. if
\[
\gamma_* \leq \frac{1}{4cT} \min\{\nu, 1\},
\]
then from (3.26) it follows that
\[
\|u; L_{2,\beta}(\Omega \times (0, 2\pi))\|^2 + \|\nabla u; L_{2,\beta}(\Omega \times (0, 2\pi))\|^2 \\
\leq c\|f; L_{2,\beta}(\Omega \times (0, 2\pi))\|^2.
\] (3.27)

Now, let us take in the integral identity (3.3) \( \eta(x, t) = tE^{(k)}_{\beta}(x)u(x, t) + tW^{(k)}(x, t) \). Since
\[
\int_{0}^{2\pi} \int_{\Omega} tE^{(k)}_{\beta}(x)u(x, t) \cdot u(x, t) dx dt = \pi \int_{\Omega} E^{(k)}_{\beta}(x)|u(x, 2\pi)|^2 dx \\
- \frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{2\pi} \int_{\Omega} E^{(k)}_{\beta}(x)|u(x, t)|^2 dx dt,
\]
arguing as above and using weighted Poincaré inequality (1.12), we derive
\[
\pi \int_{\Omega} E^{(k)}_{\beta}(x)|u(x, 2\pi)|^2 dx \leq c \int_{0}^{2\pi} \int_{\Omega} E^{(k)}_{\beta}(x)(|u(x, t)|^2 + |\nabla u(x, t)|^2) dx dt \\
+ \int_{0}^{2\pi} \int_{\Omega} E^{(k)}_{\beta}(x)|f(x, t)|^2 dx dt
\]
and, from (3.27) we conclude
\[
\int_{\Omega} E^{(k)}_{\beta}(x)|u(x, 0)|^2 dx = \int_{\Omega} E^{(k)}_{\beta}(x)|u(x, 2\pi)|^2 dx \\
\leq c \int_{0}^{2\pi} \int_{\Omega} E^{(k)}_{\beta}(x)|f(x, t)|^2 dx dt.
\] (3.28)
3.3. Estimates of the solution in weighted function spaces

Analogously, taking in (3.3) \( \eta(x, t) = tE^{(k)}(x)u_t(x, t) + tW^{(k)}_t(x, t) \), we get

\[
\int_{\Omega} E^{(k)}(x)|\nabla u(x, 0)|^2\,dx = \int_{\Omega} E^{(k)}(x)|\nabla u(x, 2\pi)|^2\,dx
\]

\[
\leq c \int_{0}^{2\pi} E^{(k)}(x)|f(x, t)|^2\,dxdt. \tag{3.29}
\]

Now, repeating the arguments from paper [66] (see Chapter 4, Theorem 3.2), we derive the estimate

\[
\int_{\Omega} E^{(k)}(x)(|u(x, t)|^2 + |\nabla u(x, t)|^2)\,dx
\]

\[
+ \int_{0}^{t} \int_{\Omega} E^{(k)}(x)(|u_t(x, \tau)|^2 + \nu|\nabla u(x, \tau)|^2)\,dx\,d\tau \leq c \left( \int_{\Omega} E^{(k)}(x)|u(x, 0)|^2\,dx \right.
\]

\[
+ \int_{0}^{t} \int_{\Omega} E^{(k)}(x)|f(x, \tau)|^2\,dx\,d\tau \right) \leq c \int_{0}^{t} \int_{\Omega} E^{(k)}(x)|f(x, \tau)|^2\,dx\,d\tau \tag{3.30}
\]

for \( \forall t \in [0, 2\pi] \). The right-hand side of (3.30) does not depend on \( k \). Therefore, passing in (3.30) to a limit as \( k \to \infty \), we get the estimate

\[
\sup_{t \in [0, 2\pi]} \|u(\cdot, t); W^{1,2}_{2,\beta}(\Omega)\|^2 + \|u; W^{1,1}_{2,\beta}(\Omega \times (0, 2\pi))\|^2
\]

\[
\leq c\|f; L^{2,\beta}(\Omega \times (0, 2\pi))\|^2. \tag{3.31}
\]

Let \( \partial\Omega \in C^2 \). From integral identity (3.3) it follows that for almost all \( t \in [0, T] \) there holds the identity

\[
\nu \int_{\Omega} \nabla u(x, t) \cdot \nabla \eta(x)\,dx = \int_{\Omega} (f(x, t) - u_t(x, t)) \cdot \eta(x)\,dx
\]

where \( \eta(x) \) is arbitrary divergence-free function belonging to the space \( W^{1}_{2}(\Omega) \). Hence, \( u(\cdot, t) \in W^{1}_{2}(\Omega) \) could be considered as a weak solution of the stationary
Stokes problem with the right-hand side equal to $f(x, t) - u_t(x, t)$:

$$
\begin{cases}
-\nu \Delta u(x, t) + \nabla p(x, t) = f(x, t) - u_t(x, t), \\
\text{div } u(x, t) = 0, \\
u(x, t)|_{\partial \Omega} = 0, \\
\int_{\sigma_j} u(x, t) \cdot n(x) ds = 0, j = 1, \ldots, J.
\end{cases}
$$

(3.32)

We have $f(\cdot, t) - u_t(\cdot, t) \in L_{2, \beta}(\Omega)$ for almost all $t \in [0, 2\pi]$. If $\gamma_\ast$ is sufficiently small, then the weak solution $u(x, t)$ of (3.32) belongs to the space $W_{2, \beta}^2(\Omega)$. Moreover, there exists a function $p(x, t)$ with $\nabla p(\cdot, t) \in L_{2, \beta}(\Omega)$ such that $(u(x, t), p(x, t))$ satisfy equation (3.32) almost everywhere in $\Omega$ and there holds the estimate

$$
\|u(\cdot, t); W_{2, \beta}^2(\Omega)\|^2 + \|\nabla p(\cdot, t); L_{2, \beta}(\Omega)\|^2 \leq c \|f(\cdot, t) - u_t(\cdot, t)); L_{2, \beta}(\Omega)\|^2
$$

$$
\leq c \left( \|f(\cdot, t); L_{2, \beta}(\Omega)\|^2 + \|u_t(\cdot, t); L_{2, \beta}(\Omega)\|^2 \right).
$$

(3.33)

Integrating inequality (3.33) with respect to $t$ and using (3.31) we derive

$$
\int_0^{2\pi} \int_\Omega E_\beta(x)|u(x, \tau)|^2 + |\nabla u(x, \tau)|^2 + \sum_{|\alpha|=2} |D_\alpha^\alpha u(x, \tau)|^2 dxd\tau
$$

$$
+ \int_0^{2\pi} \int_\Omega E_\beta(x)|\nabla p(x, \tau)|^2 dxd\tau \leq c \|f; L_{2, \beta}(\Omega \times (0, 2\pi))\|^2.
$$

The last estimate together with (3.31) is equivalent to (3.17). The theorem is proved.

### 3.4 Time periodic Stokes problem with nonzero fluxes

In this section we study the problem (3.1) in the case of nonzero periodic fluxes $F_j(t), j = 1, 2, \ldots, J$. First we construct the divergence free vector func-
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Let \( \mathbf{V}(x,t) \) satisfying the boundary condition (3.1.3) and the flux condition (3.1.4) (a flux carrier) and then reduce the problem (3.1) to the problem (3.2). According to Theorem 2.2 (see also papers [8], [19]), in each cylinder \( \Pi_j \) there exists the time periodic Poiseuille flow \((\mathbf{U}^{(j)}(x^{(j)}), P^{(j)}(x^{(j)}, t))\), i.e. the exact solution of the time periodic Stokes (and Navier–Stokes) problem in the cylinder \( \Pi_j \), having the form

\[
\begin{align*}
\mathbf{U}^{(j)}(x^{(j)}, t) &= (0, \ldots, 0, U_n^{(j)}(x^{(j)}'), t), \\
P^{(j)}(x^{(j)}, t) &= -q^{(j)}(t)x_n^{(j)},
\end{align*}
\]    

and satisfying the flux condition

\[
\int_{\sigma_j} U_n^{(j)}(x^{(j)}'; t) dx^{(j)'} = F_j(t).
\]    

The pair of functions \((U_n^{(j)}(x^{(j)}'), t), q^{(j)}(t))\) is the solution of the parabolic inverse problem.

\[
\begin{cases}
U_n^{(j)}(x^{(j)}', t) - \nu \Delta' U_n^{(j)}(x^{(j)}', t) = q^{(j)}(t), \\
U_n^{(j)}(x^{(j)}', t) |_{\partial \sigma_j} = 0, \\
U_n^{(j)}(x^{(j)}', 0) = U_n^{(j)}(x^{(j)}', 2\pi), \\
\int_{\sigma_j} U_n^{(j)}(x^{(j)}', t) dx^{(j)'} = F_j(t),
\end{cases}
\]    

(3.35)

where \( \Delta' \) is the Laplace operator with respect to variables \( x^{(j)'} \). It is proved in [8], [19] that for any periodic \( F_j(t) \in W_2^1(0, 2\pi) \) the problem (3.35) admits a unique time periodic solution \((U_n^{(j)}(x^{(j)}', t), q^{(j)}(t)) \in W_2^{2,1}(\sigma_j \times (0, 2\pi)) \times L_2(0, 2\pi)\). Moreover, there holds the estimate

\[
\|U_n^{(j)}; W_2^{2,1}(\sigma_j \times (0, 2\pi))\|^2 + \|q^{(j)}; L_2(0, 2\pi)\|^2 \leq c\|F_j; W_2^1(0, 2\pi)\|^2. \quad (3.36)
\]
Define

\[ U(x, t) = \sum_{j=1}^{J} \zeta(x_n^{(j)}(x^{(j)\prime}, t)), \quad P(x, t) = \sum_{j=1}^{J} \zeta(x_n^{(j)}(x^{(j)\prime}, t)), \]

where \( \zeta(\tau) \) is smooth cut-off function with \( \zeta(\tau) = 0 \) for \( \tau \leq 1 \) and \( \zeta(\tau) = 1 \) for \( \tau \geq 2 \). Let

\[ g(x, t) = -\text{div}U(x, t) = -\sum_{j=1}^{J} \frac{d\zeta(x_n^{(j)})}{dx_n^{(j)}} U^{(j)}(x^{(j)\prime}, t). \]

Then

\[ \text{supp}_x g(x, t) \subset \Omega(2) \setminus \Omega(1) \]

and, therefore, \( g(\cdot, t) \in \dot{W}^{1,2}_{2}(\Omega(3)) \). Moreover, from condition \( \sum_{j=1}^{J} F_j(t) = 0 \) it follows that

\[ \int_{\Omega(2)} g(x, t) dx = -\int_{\Omega(2)} \text{div}U(x, t) dx = -\sum_{j=1}^{J} \int_{\sigma_j} U^{(j)}(x^{(j)\prime}, t) dx^{(j)\prime} \]

\[ = -\sum_{j=1}^{J} F_j(t) = 0, \quad \forall t \in [0, T]. \]

Therefore, by lemma 1.8 there exists a time periodic vector function \( W(\cdot, t) \in \dot{W}^{1,2}_{2}(\Omega(3)) \) with \( W_t(\cdot, t) \in \dot{W}^{1,2}_{2}(\Omega(3)) \) such that

\[ \text{div}W(x, t) = g(x, t), \quad \text{div}W_t(x, t) = g_t(x, t), \]

and there holds the estimate

\[ \int_{0}^{2\pi} \| W(\cdot, t); W^{2}_{2}(\Omega(3)) \|^{2} dt + \int_{0}^{2\pi} \| W_t(\cdot, t); W^{2}_{2}(\Omega(3)) \|^{2} dt \leq c \left( \int_{0}^{2\pi} \| g(\cdot, t); W^{1}_{2}(\Omega(3)) \|^{2} dt + \int_{0}^{2\pi} \| g_t(\cdot, t); L^{2}_{2}(\Omega(3)) \|^{2} dt \right) \]
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\[ \leq c \sum_{j=1}^{J} \| F_j; W^1_2(0, 2\pi) \|^2. \] (3.38)

Define

\[ V(x, t) = U(x, t) + W(x, t). \] (3.39)

Obviously,

\[ \text{div} V(x, t) = 0, \quad V(x, t)|_{\partial \Omega} = 0, \quad \int_{\sigma_j} V(x, t) \cdot n(x) ds = F_j(t), \quad j = 1, \ldots, J, \]

and for \( x \in \Omega_j \setminus \Omega_{j'}, j = 1, \ldots, J, \) the vector function \( V(x, t) \) coincides with the velocity part \( U^{(j)}(x^{(j)}, t) \) of the corresponding time periodic Poiseuille flow.

Now we are in a position to prove the main result of the chapter.

**Theorem 3.3.** Let \( \partial \Omega \subset C^2 \). Suppose that \( F_j(t) \in W^1_2(0, 2\pi), j = 1, 2, \ldots, J, \) and \( f(x, t) \in L_{2,\beta}(\Omega \times (0, 2\pi)) \) are time periodic functions. If the number \( \gamma_* \) in the inequality (1.33) is sufficiently small, then problem (3.1) has a unique time periodic solution \((u(x, t), p(x, t))\) admitting the asymptotic representation

\[ u(x, t) = V(x, t) + v(x, t), \quad p(x, t) = P(x, t) + \tilde{p}(x, t), \] (3.40)

where \( V(x, t) \) is the flux carrier defined by (3.39) and \( P(x, t) \) is the corresponding pressure function defined by (3.37). \( v(x, t) \in W^{2,1}_{2,\beta}(\Omega \times (0, 2\pi)), \nabla \tilde{p}(x, t) \in \mathcal{L}_{2,\beta}(\Omega \times (0, 2\pi)). \) There holds the estimate

\[ \| v; W^{2,1}_{2,\beta}(\Omega \times (0, 2\pi)) \| + \| \nabla \tilde{p}; \mathcal{L}_{2,\beta}(\Omega \times (0, 2\pi)) \| \]

\[ \leq c \left( \| f; \mathcal{L}_{2,\beta}(\Omega \times (0, 2\pi)) \| + \sum_{j=1}^{J} \| F_j; W^1_2(0, 2\pi) \| \right). \] (3.41)

**Proof.** We look for the solution \((u(x, t), p(x, t))\) of problem (3.1) in the form (3.40). Then for \((v(x, t), \tilde{p}(x, t))\) we get the problem (3.2), i.e. the time periodic Stokes problem with zero fluxes and the new external force \( \tilde{f}(x, t) = f(x, t) + f_{(1)}(x, t) + f_{(2)}(x, t) \), where

\[ f_{(1)}(x, t) = -U_t(x, t) + \nu \Delta U(x, t) - \nabla P(x, t), \]

\[ f_{(2)}(x, t) = -W_t(x, t) + \nu \Delta W(x, t). \]
Since for \( x \in \Omega_j \setminus \Omega_{j3} \) the pair \((V(x, t), P(x, t))\) coincides with the time periodic Poiseuille solution \((U(j)(x, t), P(j)(x, t))\), \( j = 1, \ldots, J \), which is the exact solutions of Stokes system in a cylinder \( \Pi_j \), we conclude that

\[
\text{supp}_x(f(1)(x, t) + f(2)(x, t)) \subset \Omega(3).
\]

From the construction of \((U(x, t), P(x, t))\) and \(W(x, t)\) (see (3.37), (3.39)) and from estimates (3.36), (3.38) it follows that

\[
\|f(1) + f(2); L_{2, \beta}(\Omega \times (0, 2\pi))\| \leq c\|f(1) + f(2); L_2(\Omega \times (0, 2\pi))\|
\]

\[
\leq c \sum_{j=1}^{J} \|F_j; W^{1/2}_2(0, 2\pi)\|.
\]

Therefore, by Theorems 3.1 and 3.2, there exists a unique solution \((v(x, t), \tilde{p}(x, t))\) of the problem (3.2) with the right-hand side \(\tilde{f}(x, t)\). Moreover, there holds the estimate:

\[
\|v; W^{1/2}_{2, \beta}(\Omega \times (0, 2\pi))\| + \|\nabla \tilde{p}; L_{2, \beta}(\Omega \times (0, 2\pi))\| \leq \|\tilde{f}; L_{2, \beta}(\Omega \times (0, 2\pi))\|
\]

\[
\leq c\left( \sum_{j=1}^{J} \|F_j; W^{1/2}_2(0, 2\pi)\| + \|f; L_{2, \beta}(\Omega \times (0, 2\pi))\| \right).
\]

The theorem is proved.

The results obtained in this chapter is presented in [A2].
4

Two-dimensional time periodic Navier-Stokes problem

4.1 Formulation of the problem

In this chapter we consider time periodic Navier-Stokes problem

\[
\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{u}_t(x, t) - \nu \Delta \mathbf{u}(x, t) + (\mathbf{u}(x, t) \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{u}(x, t) + \nabla p(x, t) &= f(x, t), \\
\text{div} \mathbf{u}(x, t) &= 0, \\
\mathbf{u}(x, t)\rvert_{\partial \Omega} &= 0, \\
\mathbf{u}(x, 0) &= \mathbf{u}(x, 2\pi), \\
\int_{\sigma_j} \mathbf{u}(x, t) \cdot \mathbf{n}(x) ds &= F_j(t), \quad j = 1, \ldots, J.
\end{aligned}
\]

(4.1)

in two-dimensional domain \( \Omega \) with strip-like outlets to infinity. Assume that the
external force $f(x, t)$ admits the representation

$$f(x, t) = \sum_{j=1}^{J} \zeta(x_2^{(j)})(0, f_2^{(j)}(x_1^{(j)}, t)) + \hat{f}(x), \quad (4.2)$$

where $f_2^{(j)} \in L_2(\sigma_j \times (0, 2\pi))$, $\hat{f} \in L_{2,0}(\Omega \times (0, 2\pi))$. $\zeta(\tau)$ is a smooth cut-off function with $\zeta(\tau) = 0$, for $\tau \leq 1$ and $\zeta(\tau) = 1$, for $\tau \geq 2$.

According to theorem 2.2 in each cylinder $\Pi_j$ there exists the time periodic Poiseuille flow $(U^{(j)}(x_1^{(j)}, t), P^{(j)}(x_1^{(j)}, t))$ having the form

$$U^{(j)}(x_1^{(j)}, t) = (0, U_2^{(j)}(x_1^{(j)}, t)), \quad P^{(j)}(x_1^{(j)}, t) = -q^{(j)}(t)x_2^{(j)} + p_0(t)$$

and satisfying the estimates

$$\|U_2^{(j)}; W_2^{1,1}(\sigma \times (0, 2\pi))\|^2 + \|q^{(j)}(t); L_2(0, 2\pi)\|^2 \leq c \left( \|f_2^{(j)}; L_2(\sigma_j \times 0, 2\pi)\|^2 + \|F_j; W_2^{1/2}(0, 2\pi)\|^2 \right). \quad (4.3)$$

Set

$$U(x, t) = \sum_{j=1}^{J} \zeta(x_2^{(j)})U^{(j)}(x_1^{(j)}, t), \quad P(x, t) = \sum_{j=1}^{J} \zeta(x_2^{(j)})P^{(j)}(x_1^{(j)}, t). \quad (4.4)$$

Let $g(x, t) = -\text{div}U(x, t)$. Then $\text{supp}_x g(x, t) \subset \overline{\Omega_{(3)}}$. From the condition $\sum_{j=1}^{J} F_j(t) = 0$ we get that

$$\int_{\Omega_{(3)}} g(x, t)dx = 0, \quad \forall t \in [0, 2\pi].$$

Moreover, in virtue of Theorem 2.2

$$\int_0^{2\pi} \|g(\cdot, t); W_2^{1/2}(\Omega_{(3)})\|^2 dt + \int_0^{2\pi} \|g_t(\cdot, t); L_2(\Omega_{(3)})\|^2 dt \leq c \sum_{j=1}^{J} \left( \int_0^{2\pi} \|U_2^{(j)}(\cdot, t); W_2^{2}(\sigma_j)\|^2 dt + \int_0^{2\pi} \|U_2^{(j)}(\cdot, t); L_2(\sigma_j)\|^2 dt \right)$$
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\[ \leq c \sum_{j=1}^{J} \left( \|f^{(j)}_j; L_2(\sigma_j \times (0, 2\pi))\|^2 + \|F_j; W^1_2(0, 2\pi)\|^2 \right). \]

Hence \( g(\cdot, t) \in W^4_2(\Omega_\beta) \) and in virtue of lemma 1.8 there exists a vector-field \( W(\cdot, t) \in W^2_2(\Omega_\beta) \) with \( W_t(\cdot, t) \in W^1_2(\Omega_\beta) \) such that

\[ \text{div} W(x, t) = g(x, t), \quad \text{div} W_t(x, t) = g_t(x, t), \]

and there holds the estimate

\[ \int_0^{2\pi} \|W(\cdot, t); W^2_2(\Omega_\beta)\|^2 dt + \int_0^{2\pi} \|W_t(\cdot, t); W^1_2(\Omega_\beta)\|^2 dt \leq \left( \int_0^{2\pi} \|g(\cdot, t); W^2_2(\Omega_\beta)\|^2 dt + \int_0^{2\pi} \|g_t(\cdot, t); L_2(\Omega_\beta)\|^2 dt \right) \]

\[ \leq c \sum_{j=1}^{J} \left( \|f^{(j)}_j; L_2(\sigma_j \times (0, 2\pi))\|^2 + \|F_j; W^1_2(0, 2\pi)\|^2 \right). \quad (4.5) \]

Define

\[ V(x, t) = U(x, t) + W(x, t). \quad (4.6) \]

Then for \( x \in \Omega_\beta \setminus \Omega_\beta^3, j = 1, ..., J \), the vector-field \( V(x, t) \) coincides with the velocity part \( U^{(j)}(x^{(j)}_x, t) \) of the corresponding time-periodic Poiseuille flow. Moreover,

\[ \text{div} V(x, t) = 0, \quad V(x, t)|_{\partial \Omega^1} = 0, \quad \int_{\sigma_j} V(x, t) \cdot n(x) ds = F_j(t), \quad j = 1, ..., J. \]

We look for the solution of problem (4.1) in the form

\[ u(x, t) = V(x, t) + v(x, t), \quad p(x, t) = P(x, t) + \tilde{p}(x, t). \quad (4.7) \]
Then for \((v(x, t), \tilde{p}(x, t))\) we derive the equation

\[
\begin{aligned}
& v_t(x, t) - \nu \Delta v(x, t) + (v(x, t) \cdot \nabla) v(x, t) + (\mathbf{V}(x, t) \cdot \nabla) v(x, t) \\
& + (v(x, t) \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{V}(x, t) + \nabla \tilde{p}(x, t) = \tilde{f}(x, t), \\
& \text{div}\mathbf{v} = 0, \\
& v(x, t)|_{\partial \Omega} = 0, \\
& v(x, 0) = v(x, 2\pi), \\
& \int_{\sigma_j} v(x, t) \cdot \mathbf{n}(x) ds = 0, \quad j = 1, \ldots, J.
\end{aligned}
\]

Where \(\tilde{f}(x, t) = \hat{f}(x, t) + f^{(1)}(x, t) + f^{(2)}(x, t),\)

\[
f^{(1)}(x, t) = \sum_{j=1}^{J} \left( \nu \frac{d^2}{dx_2^{(j)}} \zeta(x_2^{(j)}(0, U_2^{(j)}(x_1^{(j)}, t))) - \\
\zeta(x_2^{(j)}) \frac{d}{dx_2^{(j)}} \zeta(x_2^{(j)}(0, |U_2^{(j)}(x_1^{(j)})|^2) - \frac{d}{dx_2^{(j)}} \zeta(x_2^{(j)}) x_2^{(j)}(0, q^{(j)}(t))) \right),
\]

\[
f^{(2)}(x, t) = -W_t(x, t) + \nu \Delta W(x, t) - (W(x, t) \cdot \nabla) W(x, t) \\
-(U(x, t) \cdot \nabla) W(x, t) - (W(x, t) \cdot \nabla) U(x, t).
\]

Note that \(\text{supp}_x [f^{(1)}(x, t) + f^{(2)}(x, t)] \subset \Omega_{(3)}.\)

Moreover, using Sobolev embedding theorem on the one-dimensional interval \((0, h_j)\), from (4.3) we obtain the estimate

\[
\int_0^{2\pi} \int_{\Omega} |f^{(1)}(x, t)|^2 dx \, d\tau \\
\leq c \sum_{j=1}^{J} \int_{\Omega_j} (|U_2^{(j)}(x_1^{(j)}, \tau)|^2 + |U_2^{(j)}(x_1^{(j)}, \tau)|^4 + |q^{(j)}(\tau)|^2) dx \, d\tau
\]
4.1. Formulation of the problem

\[ \leq c \sum_{j=1}^{J} \int_{0}^{2\pi} (\|U_2^{(j)}(\cdot, \tau); L_2(\sigma_j)\|^2 + \|U_2^{(j)}(\cdot, \tau); W_2^1(\sigma_j)\|^4) d\tau \]

\[ + c \int_{0}^{2\pi} |q^{(j)}(\tau)|^2 d\tau \leq cA_1(1 + A_1), \quad (4.9) \]

where

\[ A_1 = \sum_{j=1}^{J} (\|f_2^{(j)}; L_2(\sigma_j \times (0, 2\pi))\|^2 + \|F_j; W_2^1(0, 2\pi)\|^2). \quad (4.10) \]

Analogously, using again Sobolev embedding theorem in the domain \( \Omega_{(3)} \) and (4.3) we derive

\[ \int_{0}^{2\pi} \int_{\Omega} \left( |W(x, \tau)|^2 + |\Delta W(x, \tau)|^2 + (|W(x, \tau)|^2 + |U(x, \tau)|^2) \right) dxd\tau \]

\[ \leq cA_1 + c \int_{0}^{2\pi} \left( \sup_{x \in \Omega_{(3)}} (|W(x, \tau)|^2 + |U(x, \tau)|^2) \right) \times \]

\[ \int_{\Omega_{(3)}} (|\nabla W(x, \tau)|^2 + |\nabla U(x, \tau)|^2) dx d\tau \]

\[ \leq cA_1 + c \left[ \sup_{t \in [0, 2\pi]} \|W(\cdot, t); W_2^2(\Omega_{(3)})\|^2 + \sum_{j=1}^{J} \|U_2^{(j)}(\cdot, t); W_2^1(\sigma_j)\|^2 \right] \]

\[ \times \int_{0}^{2\pi} \left( \|W(\cdot, \tau); W_2^2(\Omega_{(3)})\|^2 + \sum_{j=1}^{J} \|U_2^{(j)}(\cdot, \tau); W_2^1(\sigma_j)\|^2 \right) d\tau \]

\[ \leq cA_1 + c \left( \|W; W_2^{2,1}(\Omega_{(3)} \times (0, 2\pi))\|^2 + \sum_{j=1}^{J} \|U_2^{(j)}(\sigma \times (0, 2\pi))\|^2 \right) \]

\[ \leq cA_1(1 + A_1). \quad (4.11) \]
Define,
\[ A_2 = \int_0^{2\pi} \int_{\Omega} |\hat{f}(x,t)|^2 dx dt. \] (4.12)

Then
\[ \int_0^{2\pi} \int_{\Omega} |\hat{f}(x,t)|^2 dx dt \leq c(A_1 + A_1^2) + A_2. \] (4.13)

### 4.2 A priori estimates of the solution

**Lemma 4.1.** Let \( \hat{f} \in L_2(\Omega \times (0, 2\pi)) \), and let \( v \in W_2^{2,1}(\Omega \times (0, 2\pi)) \) be a solution of problem (4.8). If
\[ a = \frac{\nu}{c_0} - \frac{A_1}{\nu} > 0, \] (4.14)
(the constant \( c_0 \) is from Poincarè inequality) then there holds the estimate:
\[ \int_{\Omega} |v(x,t)|^2 dx + \nu \int_0^t \int_{\Omega} |\nabla v(x,\tau)|^2 dx d\tau \]
\[ \leq c \left( \frac{e^{-at}}{1 - e^{-at}} A_1 + 1 \right)(A_1 + A_1^2 + A_2) := cB_1, \quad \forall t \in [0, 2\pi], \] (4.15)
where the constant \( A_1 \) is defined by (4.10) and \( A_2 \) is defined by (4.12). The constant \( c \) in (4.15) does not depend on \( t \in (0, 2\pi) \).

**Proof.** Multiply (4.8) by \( v(x,t) \) and integrate by parts in \( \Omega \):
\[ \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} |v(x,t)|^2 dx + \nu \int_{\Omega} |\nabla v(x,t)|^2 dx \]
\[ = - \int_{\Omega} (v(x,t) \cdot \nabla) v(x,t) \cdot v(x,t) dx + \int_{\Omega} \hat{f}(x,t) \cdot v(x,t) dx \] (4.16)

Let us estimate the first integral on the right-hand side of (4.16). We have
\[ \left| \int_{\Omega} (v(x,t) \cdot \nabla) v(x,t) \cdot v(x,t) \right| \leq \left| \int_{\Omega(3)} (v(x,t) \cdot \nabla) W(x,t) \cdot v(x,t) \right| \]
4.2. A priori estimates of the solution

\[ + \sum_{j=1}^{J} \left| \int_{\Omega_{j}} (v(x, t) \cdot \nabla) U(x, t) \cdot v(x, t) \right| = J_1(t) + J_2(t). \] (4.17)

Using (1.17), Poincaré, Hölder, Young inequalities and multiplicative (1.9) inequality the first integral at the right-hand side of (4.17) is estimated as follows

\[ |J_1(t)| \leq \left( \int_{\Omega_{(3)}} |v(x, t)|^4 dx \right)^{1/2} \left( \int_{\Omega_{(3)}} |\nabla W(x, t)|^2 dx \right)^{1/2} \]
\[ \leq 2 \left( \int_{\Omega_{(3)}} |v(x, t)|^2 dx \right)^{1/2} \left( \int_{\Omega_{(3)}} |\nabla v(x, t)|^2 dx \right)^{1/2} \left( \int_{\Omega_{(3)}} |\nabla W(x, t)|^2 dx \right)^{1/2} \]
\[ \leq \frac{6m_1(t)}{\nu} \int_{\Omega} |v(x, t)|^2 dx + \frac{\nu}{6} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla v(x, t)|^2 dx, \]

where

\[ m_1(t) = \int_{\Omega_{(3)}} |\nabla W(x, t)|^2 dx. \]

Analogously, the second term at the right-hand side of (4.17) admits the estimate

\[ |J_2(t)| = \sum_{j=1}^{J} \left| \int_{\Omega_{j}} (v(x, t) \cdot \nabla) U(x, t) \cdot v(x, t) \right| \]
\[ \leq \sum_{j=1}^{J} \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \left| \int_{\omega_{js}} (v(x, t) \cdot \nabla) \zeta(x_2^{(j)}) U^{(j)}(x_1^{(j)}, t) \cdot v(x, t) dx \right| \]
\[ \leq 2 \sum_{j=1}^{J} \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \left( \int_{\omega_{js}} |v(x, t)|^2 dx \right)^{1/2} \left( \int_{\omega_{js}} |\nabla v(x, t)|^2 dx \right)^{1/2} \]
\[ \times \left( \int_{\omega_{js}} (|U^{(j)}(x_1^{(j)}, t)|^2 + |\nabla U^{(j)}(x_1^{(j)}, t)|^2) dx \right)^{1/2} \]
\[ \leq c \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \left( \int_{\sigma_j} |\nabla' U^{(j)}(x_1^{(j)}, t)|^2 dx_1^{(j)} \right) \left( \int_{\omega_j^s} |v(x, t)|^2 dx \right) \]
\[ + \frac{\nu}{6} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \int |\nabla v(x, t)|^2 dx \leq \frac{6m_2(t)}{\nu} \int |v(x, t)|^2 dx + \frac{\nu}{6} \int |\nabla v(x, t)|^2 dx, \]

where
\[ m_2(t) = c \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \int_{\sigma_j} |\nabla' U^{(j)}(x_1^{(j)}, t)|^2 dx_1^{(j)}. \]

Therefore, from (4.16) it follows that
\[ \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} |v(x, t)|^2 dx + \nu \int_{\Omega} |\nabla v(x, t)|^2 dx \]
\[ \leq \frac{\mathcal{M}(t)}{\nu} \int_{\Omega} |v(x, t)|^2 dx + c \int_{\Omega} |\tilde{f}(x, t)|^2 dx \]  

(4.18)

with \( \sup_{t \in [0,T]} \mathcal{M}(t) = 12 \left( m_1(t) + m_2(t) \right) \). Using (4.3) and (4.5) estimates we can conclude that \( \mathcal{M}(t) \leq A_1, \forall t \in [0, 2\pi] \). Now, if \( \frac{\nu}{c_0} - \frac{A_3}{\nu} = a > 0 \) then from (4.18) follows that
\[ \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} |v(x, t)|^2 dx + a \int_{\Omega} |v(x, t)|^2 dx \leq c \int_{\Omega} |\tilde{f}(x, t)|^2 dx. \]  

(4.19)

Multiplying (4.19) inequality by \( e^{at} \) and integrating over \( t \) we derive
\[ \int_{\Omega} |v(x, t)|^2 dx \]
\[ \leq e^{-at} \left[ \int_{\Omega} |v(x, 0)|^2 dx + c \int_0^t \int_{\Omega} |e^{at} \tilde{f}(x, \tau)|^2 dx d\tau \right]. \]  

(4.20)
4.2. A priori estimates of the solution

Now using periodicity condition \( v(x, 0) = v(x, 2\pi) \) from (4.20) follows that

\[
\int_\Omega |v(x, 0)|^2 \, dx \leq e^{-2\pi a} \left[ \int_\Omega |v(x, 0)|^2 \, dx \right] + c \int_0^{2\pi} |\tilde{f}(x, \tau)|^2 \, dx \, d\tau.
\]

So from the last inequality we can conclude that

\[
\int_\Omega |v(x, 0)|^2 \, dx \leq \frac{c}{1 - e^{-2\pi a}} \int_0^{2\pi} |\tilde{f}(x, t)|^2 \, dx \, dt. \quad (4.21)
\]

Hence from (4.20) and (4.21) follows that

\[
\int_\Omega |v(x, t)|^2 \, dx \leq \frac{c}{1 - e^{-2\pi a}} \int_0^{2\pi} |\tilde{f}(x, t)|^2 \, dx \, dt. \quad (4.22)
\]

Substituting (4.22) into (4.18) and integrating with respect to \( t \) we derive

\[
\sup_{t \in [0, 2\pi]} \int_\Omega |v(x, t)|^2 \, dx + \nu \int_0^t \int_\Omega |\nabla v(x, t)|^2 \, dx \, d\tau
\leq \frac{c}{1 - e^{-2\pi a}} \mathcal{M}(t) \int_0^{2\pi} |\tilde{f}(x, t)|^2 \, dx \, dt + c \int_0^t \int_\Omega |\tilde{f}(x, t)|^2 \, dx \, dt
\leq c \left( \frac{1}{1 - e^{-2\pi a}} \mathcal{M}(t) + 1 \right) \int_0^{2\pi} |\tilde{f}(x, t)|^2 \, dx \, dt
\leq c \left( \frac{e^{2\pi a}}{e^{2\pi a} - 1} A_3 + 1 \right) (A_1 + A_1^2 + A_2) := cB_1.
\]

The lemma is proved.
4.3 Estimates of nonlinear terms

Consider problem (4.8) as the linear time dependent Stokes problem:

\[
\begin{cases}
v_t(x, t) - \nu \Delta v(x, t) + \nabla p(x, t) = g(x, t, v(x, t)), \\
\text{div} v(x, t) = 0, \\
v(x, t)|_{\partial \Omega} = 0, \\
v(x, 0) = v(x, 2\pi), \\
\int_{\sigma_j} v(x, t) \cdot n(x) ds = 0, \quad j = 1, \ldots, J,
\end{cases}
\]  

(4.23)

with the right-hand side

\[
g(x, t, v(x, t)) = \tilde{f}(x, t) - (v(x, t) \cdot \nabla) v(x, t) \\
- (V(x, t) \cdot \nabla) v(x, t) - (v(x, t) \cdot \nabla) V(x, t).
\]

Let us estimate \( \int_0^t \| E^{(k)}_\beta (\cdot, \tau, v(\cdot, \tau)) ; L_2(\Omega) \|^2 d\tau \), where \( E^{(k)}_\beta (x) \) is a step weight-function (1.5). Estimates of this section analogous to those of papers [66], where the case of usual initial boundary value problem is studied. We have

\[
\int_0^t \| E^{(k)}_\beta (\cdot, \tau, v(\cdot, \tau)) ; L_2(\Omega) \|^2 d\tau \leq c \left( \int_0^t \| E^{(k)}_\beta (\cdot, \tau) ; L_2(\Omega) \|^2 d\tau \\
+ \int_0^t \| E^{(k)}_\beta (v(\cdot, \tau) \cdot \nabla) v(\cdot, \tau) ; L_2(\Omega) \|^2 d\tau \\
+ \int_0^t \| E^{(k)}_\beta (V(\cdot, \tau) \cdot \nabla) v(\cdot, \tau) ; L_2(\Omega) \|^2 d\tau \right)
\]
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\[ + \int_0^t \| E^{(k)}_\beta (\mathbf{v}(\cdot, \tau) \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{V}(\cdot, \tau); L_2(\Omega) \|^2 \, d\tau \]

\[ = c \left( \int_0^t \| E^{(k)}_\beta \mathbf{f}(\cdot, \tau); L_2(\Omega) \|^2 \, d\tau + I_1(t) + I_2(t) + I_3(t) \right). \]

**Lemma 4.2.** Let \( \mathbf{v}(x, t) \in W^{2,1}_2(\Omega \times (0, 2\pi)) \). Then \( (\mathbf{v}(x, t) \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{v}(x, t) \in L_2(\Omega \times (0, 2\pi)) \) and

\[ \int_0^t \| E^{(k)}_\beta (\mathbf{v}(\cdot, \tau) \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{v}(\cdot, \tau); L_2(\Omega) \|^2 \, d\tau \leq \varepsilon \int_0^t \| E^{(k)}_\beta \mathbf{v}(\cdot, \tau); W^{2,1}_2(\Omega) \|^2 \, d\tau \]

\[ + c_\varepsilon B_1 \int_0^t \| E^{(k)}_\beta \nabla \mathbf{v}(\cdot, \tau); L_2(\Omega) \|^4 \, d\tau, \quad \forall t \in [0, 2\pi], \quad (4.24) \]

where \( B_1 \) is defined in (4.15) and the constant \( c \) is independent of \( t \in [0, 2\pi] \) and \( k \).

**Lemma 4.3.** Let \( \mathbf{v}(x, t) \in W^{2,1}_2(\Omega \times (0, 2\pi)) \). Then \( (\mathbf{V}(x, t) \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{v}(x, t) \in L_2(\Omega \times (0, 2\pi)) \), \( (\mathbf{v}(x, t) \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{V}(x, t) \in L_2(\Omega \times (0, 2\pi)) \) and

\[ \int_0^t \| E^{(k)}_\beta (\mathbf{v}(\cdot, \tau) \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{v}(\cdot, \tau); L_2(\Omega) \|^2 \, d\tau \]

\[ + \int_0^t \| E^{(k)}_\beta (\mathbf{v}(\cdot, \tau) \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{v}(\cdot, \tau); L_2(\Omega) \|^2 \, d\tau \]

\[ \leq c \varepsilon \int_0^t \| E^{(k)}_\beta \mathbf{v}(\cdot, \tau); W^{2,1}_2(\Omega) \|^2 \, d\tau \]

\[ + c_\varepsilon A_1 \int_0^t Y(\tau) \| E^{(k)}_\beta \nabla \mathbf{v}(\cdot, \tau); L_2(\Omega) \|^2 \, d\tau, \quad \forall t \in [0, 2\pi], \quad (4.25) \]
where $A_1$ is defined by (4.10),

$$Y(t) = \sum_{j=1}^{J} \| U^{(j)}(\cdot, t); W_2^2(\sigma_j) \|^2 + \| W(\cdot, t); W_2^2(\Omega(3)) \|^2,$$

and the constant $c$ is independent of $t \in [0, 2\pi]$ and $k$.

Lemmas 4.2 and 4.3 are proved in [66].

### 4.4 Weighted estimates of the solution

**Lemma 4.4.** Let $\tilde{f}(x, t) \in L_2(\Omega \times (0, 2\pi))$, $t \in [0, 2\pi]$, and let $v(x, t) \in W_2^{1,1}(\Omega \times (0, 2\pi))$ be a solution of (4.8). If the number $\gamma_*$ in the inequality (1.34) for the weight-function $E_\beta(x)$ is sufficiently small and the condition (4.14) is satisfied, then there holds the estimate

$$t \int_0^t (\| E^{(k)}_\beta v(\cdot, \tau); L_2(\Omega) \|^2 + \| E^{(k)}_\beta v(\cdot, \tau); W_2^2(\Omega) \|^2) d\tau$$

$$+ \int_0^t \| E^{(k)}_\beta \nabla \tilde{p}(\cdot, \tau); L_2(\Omega) \|^2 d\tau \leq cB^{(k)}_2, \quad \forall t \in [0, 2\pi], \quad (4.26)$$

where

$$B^{(k)}_2 = (A^{(k)}_5 + A_1 + A_1^2)(1 + e^{(B_1 + A_1)^2}(A_1 + B_1)^2), \quad (4.27)$$

$$A^{(k)}_5 = \int_0^{2\pi} \| E^{(k)}_\beta \tilde{f}(\cdot, t); L_2(\Omega) \|^2 dt.$$

The constant $c$ in (4.26) does not depend on $t \in [0, 2\pi]$ and $k$.

**Proof.** Consider the solution $v(x, t)$ of problem (4.8) as a solution of the linear problem (4.23). Then there holds the estimate (see (3.17))

$$t \int_0^t (\| E^{(k)}_\beta v(\cdot, \tau); L_2(\Omega) \|^2 + \| E^{(k)}_\beta v(\cdot, \tau); W_2^2(\Omega) \|^2) d\tau$$
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\[ + \int_0^t \| E_{\beta}^{(k)} \nabla \tilde{p}(\cdot, \tau); L_2(\Omega) \|^2 d\tau \]

\[ \leq c \int_0^t \| E_{\beta}^{(k)} g(\cdot, \tau; \nu(\cdot, \tau)) ; L_2(\Omega) \|^2 d\tau \]

(4.28)

with the constant \( c \) independent of \( k \). According to Lemma 1.1, \( E_{\beta} \nu(\cdot, t) \in W^{1,2}_2(\Omega) \) and

\[ \| E_{\beta}^{(k)} \nu(\cdot, t) ; W^{1,2}_2(\Omega) \|^2 \]

\[ \leq c \int_0^t (\| E_{\beta}^{(k)} \nu_x(\cdot, \tau) ; L_2(\Omega) \|^2 + \| E_{\beta}^{(k)} \nu(\cdot, \tau) ; W^{1,2}_2(\Omega) \|^2) d\tau. \]

(4.29)

The constant in the (4.29) is independent of \( t \) and \( k \). Relations (4.28), (4.29) together with inequalities (4.24), (4.25) yield

\[ \int_{\Omega} E_{\beta}^{(k)}(x) |\nabla \nu(x, t)|^2 dx + \int_0^t (\| E_{\beta}^{(k)} \nu_x(\cdot, \tau) ; L_2(\Omega) \|^2 + \| E_{\beta}^{(k)} \nu(\cdot, \tau) ; W^{1,2}_2(\Omega) \|^2) d\tau \]

\[ + \int_0^t \| E_{\beta}^{(k)} \nabla \tilde{p}(\cdot, \tau) ; L_2(\Omega) \|^2 d\tau \]

\[ \leq c \int_0^t \int_{\Omega} E_{\beta}^{(k)}(x) |\nabla \tilde{f}(x, \tau)|^2 dx d\tau + c_* \int_0^t \| E_{\beta}^{(k)} \nu(\cdot, \tau) ; W^{1,2}_2(\Omega) \|^2 d\tau \]

\[ + c_2 B_1 \int_0^t \| \nabla \nu(\cdot, \tau) ; L_2(\Omega) \| \| E_{\beta}^{(k)} \nabla \nu(\cdot, \tau) ; L_2(\Omega) \| d\tau \]

\[ + c_2 A_1 \int_0^t \| Y(\tau) \| \| E_{\beta}^{(k)} \nabla \nu(\cdot, \tau) ; L_2(\Omega) \| d\tau. \]

Fixing \( \varepsilon = 1/2 c_*, \) from the last inequality we find

\[ \int_{\Omega} E_{\beta}^{(k)}(x) |\nabla \nu(x, t)|^2 dx + \int_0^t (\| E_{\beta}^{(k)} \nu(\cdot, \tau) ; L_2(\Omega) \|^2 + \| E_{\beta}^{(k)} \nu(\cdot, \tau) ; W^{1,2}_2(\Omega) \|^2) d\tau \]
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\[ + \int_{0}^{t} \| E^{(k)}_{\beta} \nabla \bar{p}(:, \tau) \|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 d\tau \leq c \int_{0}^{t} \int_{\Omega} E^{(k)}_{\beta}(x) \bar{f}(x, \tau) \|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 dxd\tau \]

\[ + c(A_1 + B_1) \int_{0}^{t} (\| \nabla v(\cdot, \tau) \|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + Y(\tau)) \| E^{(k)}_{\beta} \nabla v(\cdot, \tau) \|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 d\tau. \quad (4.30) \]

Omitting the second and the third terms on the left-hand side of (4.30), we also have

\[ \int_{\Omega} E^{(k)}_{\beta}(x) |\nabla v(x, t)|^2 dx \leq c \int_{0}^{t} \int_{\Omega} E^{(k)}_{\beta}(x) \bar{f}(x, \tau) \|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 dxd\tau \]

\[ + c(A_1 + B_1) \int_{0}^{t} (\| \nabla v(\cdot, \tau) \|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + Y(\tau)) \| E^{(k)}_{\beta} \nabla v(\cdot, \tau) \|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 d\tau. \quad (4.31) \]

Denote

\[ \| \nabla v(\cdot, t) \|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + Y(t) = Z(t), \int_{0}^{t} \| E^{(k)}_{\beta} \nabla v(\cdot, \tau) \|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 Z(\tau) d\tau = X(t). \]

Multiplying both sides of relation (4.31) by \( Z(t) \), we receive the inequality

\[ \frac{d}{dt} X(t) \leq c_1(A_1 + B_1) Z(t) X(t) + c_2 Z(t) \Phi(t), \]

where

\[ \Phi(t) = \int_{0}^{t} \int_{\Omega} E^{(k)}_{\beta}(x) \bar{f}(x, \tau) \|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 dxd\tau. \]

Therefore,

\[ \frac{d}{dt} \left( X(t) e^{-c_1(A_1 + B_1) \int_{0}^{t} Z(\tau) d\tau} \right) \leq c_2 e^{-c_1(A_1 + B_1) \int_{0}^{t} Z(\tau) d\tau} Z(t) \Phi(t). \quad (4.32) \]

Integrate (4.32) over \( t \):

\[ X(t) \leq c_2 e^{c_1(A_1 + B_1) \int_{0}^{t} Z(\tau) d\tau} \int_{0}^{t} e^{-c_1(A_1 + B_1) \int_{0}^{s} Z(\tau) d\tau} Z(\tau) \Phi(\tau) d\tau. \]
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\[ \leq c_2 e^{c_1(A_1 + B_1)} \int_0^t Z(\tau) d\tau \int_0^t Z(\tau) \Phi(\tau) d\tau. \]  \tag{4.33}

By the definition of \( Z(t) \) and using inequalities (4.3), (4.9), (4.11), (4.15) we get

\[ \int_0^t Z(\tau) d\tau = \int_0^t \| \nabla v(\cdot, \tau); L_2(\Omega) \|^2 + \sum_{j=1}^J \int_0^t \| U^{(j)}(\cdot, \tau); W_2^2(\sigma_j) \|^2 d\tau \]

\[ + \int_0^t \| W(\cdot, \tau); W_2^2(\Omega(\beta)) \|^2 d\tau \leq c(A_1 + B_1), \]  \tag{4.34}

\[ \int_0^t Z(\tau) \Phi(\tau) d\tau \leq \int_0^t \| E^{(k)}_\beta(\cdot, \tau); L_2(\Omega) \|^2 d\tau \int_0^t Z(\tau) d\tau \]

\[ \leq c(A_1 + B_1)(A_5^{(k)} + A_1 + A_1^2), \]  \tag{4.35}

where \( \int_0^{2\pi} \| E^{(k)}_\beta(\cdot, \tau); L_2(\Omega) \|^2 d\tau = A_3^{(k)}. \) From (4.33)–(4.35) follows the estimate

\[ X(t) \leq c e^{c(A_1 + B_1)^2} (A_1 + B_1)(A_5^{(k)} + A_1 + A_1^2) \]

and, therefore, (4.30) furnishes

\[ \int_0^t \left( \| E^{(k)}_\beta v(\cdot, \tau); L_2(\Omega) \|^2 + \| E^{(k)}_\beta v(\cdot, \tau); W_2^2(\Omega) \|^2 \right) d\tau \]

\[ + \int_0^t \| E^{(k)}_\beta \nabla \tilde{p}(\cdot, \tau); L_2(\Omega) \|^2 d\tau \]

\[ \leq c(A_5^{(k)} + A_1 + A_1^2)(1 + e^{(B_1 + A_1)^2} (A_1 + B_1)^2) \equiv B_2^{(k)}. \]

The lemma is proved.

**Remark 4.1.** Taking \( \beta_j = 0, \ j = 1, \ldots, J, \) from (4.26) we get the inequality

\[ \int_0^t \left( \| v(\cdot, \tau); L_2(\Omega) \|^2 + \| v(\cdot, \tau); W_2^2(\Omega) \|^2 \right) d\tau \]
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\[ + \int_{0}^{t} \| \nabla \tilde{p}(\cdot, \tau) \|_{L_{2}(\Omega)}^2 d\tau \leq cB_2, \quad \forall t \in [0, 2\pi], \quad (4.36) \]

where \( B_2 = B_2^{(0)} \).

Remark 4.2. Considerations of this section are similar to those of the paper [66].

4.5 Solvability of problem (4.8)

Let \( \Omega^{(l)} \) be a bounded domain with the boundary \( \partial \Omega^{(l)} \in C^2 \) such that \( \Omega^{(l+1)} \subset \Omega^{(l)} \subset \Omega^{(l+2)} \). Consider in \( \Omega^{(l)} \) the following problem

\[
\begin{align*}
\mathbf{v}^{(l)}(x,t) - \nu \Delta \mathbf{v}^{(l)}(x,t) + (\mathbf{v}^{(l)}(x,t) \cdot \nabla)\mathbf{v}^{(l)}(x,t) \\
+ (\mathbf{V}(x,t) \cdot \nabla)\mathbf{v}^{(l)}(x,t) + (\mathbf{v}^{(l)}(x,t) \cdot \nabla)\mathbf{V}(x,t) \\
+ \nabla \tilde{p}^{(l)}(x,t) = \tilde{f}(x,t),
\end{align*}
\]

\[ \text{div} \mathbf{v}^{(l)}(x,t) = 0, \]

\[ \mathbf{v}^{(l)}(x,t) |_{\partial \Omega} = 0, \]

\[ \mathbf{v}^{(l)}(x,0) = \mathbf{v}^{(l)}(x,2\pi). \]

(4.37)

Assuming that \( \tilde{f}(x,t) \) is fixed, we can reduce problem (4.8) to an operator equation in the space \( W_{2}^{2,1}(\Omega^{(l)} \times (0,2\pi)) \):

\[ \mathbf{v}^{(l)}(x,t) = S^{-1} \mathcal{N} \mathbf{v}^{(l)}(x,t) = B \mathbf{v}^{(l)}(x,t), \]

(4.38)

where \( S^{-1} : \mathcal{g}(x,t) \in L_{2}(\Omega^{(l)} \times (0,2\pi)) \rightarrow \mathbf{v}^{(l)}(x,t) \in W_{2}^{2,1}(\Omega^{(l)} \times (0,2\pi)) \) is
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the bounded inverse operator of the linear time periodic Stokes problem

\[
\begin{cases}
    v^{(l)}_t(x, t) - \nu \Delta v^{(l)}(x, t) + \nabla \tilde{p}^{(l)}(x, t) = g(x, t), \\
    \text{div} v^{(l)}(x, t) = 0, \\
    v^{(l)}(x, t) \big|_{\partial \Omega} = 0, \\
    v^{(l)}(x, 0) = v^{(l)}(x, 2\pi),
\end{cases}
\]

(4.39)

\[
N v^{(l)}(x, t) = \tilde{f}(x, t) - (v^{(l)}(x, t) \cdot \nabla) v^{(l)}(x, t) - \\
-(V(x, t) \cdot \nabla)v^{(l)}(x, t) - (v^{(l)}(x, t) \cdot \nabla)V(x, t).
\]

(4.40)

**Lemma 4.5.** For any bounded domain \(\Omega^{(l)}\) the operator \(N : W_2^{2,1}(\Omega^{(l)} \times (0, 2\pi)) \rightarrow L_2(\Omega^{(l)} \times (0, 2\pi))\) is compact.

The proof of this lemma could be found in [66].

**Theorem 4.1.** For any \(\tilde{f} \in L_2(\Omega^{(l)} \times (0, 2\pi))\), problem (4.37) admits at least one solution \((v^{(l)}(x, t), p^{(l)}(x, t))\) satisfying the estimate

\[
\|v^{(l)}; W_2^{2,1}(\Omega^{(l)} \times (0, 2\pi))\|^2 + \|\nabla p; L_2(\Omega^{(l)} \times (0, 2\pi))\|^2 \leq cB_2.
\]

(4.41)

The number \(B_2\) is defined in (4.36) and the constant \(c\) in (4.41) is independent of \(l\).

**Proof.** The solvability of problem (4.37) is equivalent to the solvability of operator equation (4.38). Since the operator \(S^{-1}\) is bounded and the operator \(N\) is compact, we conclude that \(B\) is compact and, according to Leray–Schauder theorem, (4.38) has at least one solution, if the norms \(\|v^{(l,\lambda)}; W_2^{2,1}(\Omega^{(l)} \times (0, 2\pi))\|\) of all possible solutions to the equation

\[
v^{(l,\lambda)}(x, t) = \lambda B v^{(l,\lambda)}(x, t), \quad \lambda \in [0, 1],
\]

(4.42)

are bounded by the same constant independent of \(\lambda\). Now repeating all considerations of Section 4.4, we find that for any solutions \(v^{(l,\lambda)}(x, t) \in W_2^{2,1}(\Omega^{(l)} \times (0, 2\pi))\),
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(0, 2\pi) \text{ of the problem }
\begin{align*}
\mathbf{v}_t^{(l, \lambda)}(x, t) - \nu \Delta \mathbf{v}^{(l, \lambda)}(x, t) + \lambda (\mathbf{v}^{(l, \lambda)}(x, t) \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{v}^{(l, \lambda)}(x, t) + \\
\lambda (\mathbf{V}(x, t) \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{v}^{(l, \lambda)}(x, t) + \lambda (\mathbf{v}^{(l, \lambda)}(x, t) \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{V}(x, t) + \nabla \tilde{p}(x, t) = \lambda \mathbf{f}(x, t), \\
\text{div} \mathbf{v}^{(l, \lambda)}(x, t) = 0, \\
\mathbf{v}^{(l, \lambda)}(x, 0) = \mathbf{v}^{(l, \lambda)}(x, 2\pi), \\
\mathbf{v}^{(l, \lambda)}(x, t)|_{\partial \Omega} = 0,
\end{align*}

which is equivalent to operator equation (4.42), holds the estimate
\[ \|\mathbf{v}^{(l, \lambda)}; W_2^{2, 1}(\Omega^{(l)} \times (0, 2\pi))\|^2 + \|\nabla \tilde{p}^{(l, \lambda)}; L_2(\Omega^{(l)} \times (0, 2\pi))\|^2 \leq c B_2, \]

(for the definition of $B_2$ see remark (2.1)). It is easy also to verify that the constant in this estimate does not depend on $\lambda$. Thus, by Leray-Schauder theorem, operator equation (4.38) admits at least one solution $(\mathbf{v}^{(l)}(x, t), p^{(l)}(x, t))$ and there holds estimate (4.41). The theorem is proved.

**Theorem 4.2.** Let $\partial \Omega \in C^2$, $F_j(t) \in W_2^1(0, 2\pi)$, and let the external force $f(x, t)$ are represent in the form (4.2) with
\[ f^{(j)}(x, t) \in L_2(\sigma_j \times (0, 2\pi)), \quad \hat{f}(x, t) \in L_{2, \beta}(\Omega \times (0, 2\pi)), \quad j = 1, \ldots, J. \]

Let the number $\gamma_*$ in (1.3) is sufficiently small and let there the condition (4.14) holds. Then problem (4.8) admits at least one solution $(\mathbf{v}(x, t) \in W_2^{2, 1}(\Omega \times (0, 2\pi))$, $\nabla \tilde{p}(x, t) \in L_2(\Omega \times (0, 2\pi))$. There holds the estimate
\[ \|\mathbf{v}; W_2^{2, 1}(\Omega \times (0, 2\pi))\|^2 + \|\nabla \tilde{p}; L_2(\Omega \times (0, 2\pi))\|^2 \leq c B_3, \quad (4.43) \]

where $B_3 = \lim_{k \to \infty} B^{(k)}_2$.

**Proof.** Let us consider the solutions $\mathbf{v}(x, t) \in W_2^{2, 1}(\Omega^{(l)} \times (0, 2\pi))$ of problem (4.37) in bounded domains $\Omega^{(l)}$. By Lemma 1.1, for almost $t \in [0, 2\pi]$ holds the
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inclusions $\mathbf{v}^{(l)}(\cdot, t) \in W^1_2(\Omega^{(l)})$ and

$$\sup_{t \in [0, 2\pi]} \|\mathbf{v}^{(l)}(\cdot, t); W^1_2(\Omega^{(l)})\|^2 \leq c\|\mathbf{v}^{(l)}; W^{2,1}_2(\Omega^{(l)} \times (0, 2\pi))\|^2. \quad (4.44)$$

The constant in the last inequality is independent of $l$. The functions $\mathbf{v}^{(l)}(x, t)$ can be considered as elements of space $W^{1,1}_2(\Omega^{(l)} \times (0, 2\pi))$. Extending them by zero to the whole domain $\Omega$, we get a sequence $\{\mathbf{v}^{(l)}(x, t)\} \subset W^{1,1}_2(\Omega \times (0, 2\pi))$ such that $\{\mathbf{v}^{(l)}(\cdot, t)\} \subset W^{1,1}_2(\Omega)$ for all $t \in [0, 2\pi]$. In virtue of (4.44), (4.41),

$$\sup_{t \in [0, 2\pi]} \|\mathbf{v}^{(l)}(\cdot, t); W^{1}_2(\Omega)\|^2 + \|\mathbf{v}^{(l)}(\cdot, t); W^{1,1}_2(\Omega \times (0, 2\pi))\|^2 \leq cB_2. \quad (4.45)$$

The constant $c$ in (4.45) is independent of $l$. Therefore, there exists a subsequence $\{\mathbf{v}^{(l_m)}(x, t)\}$ which converges weakly in $W^{1,1}_2(\Omega \times (0, 2\pi))$ to some $\mathbf{v}(x, t) \in W^{1,1}_2(\Omega \times (0, 2\pi))$ and for $\mathbf{v}(x, t)$ remains valid estimate (4.45). Each $\mathbf{v}^{(l_m)}(x, t)$ satisfies the integral identity

$$\int_0^t \int_\Omega \mathbf{v}_{\tau}^{(l_m)}(x, \tau) \cdot \mathbf{\eta}(x, \tau) dx d\tau + \nu \int_0^t \int_\Omega \nabla \mathbf{v}_{\tau}^{(l_m)}(x, \tau) \cdot \nabla \mathbf{\eta}(x, \tau) dx d\tau$$

$$+ \int_0^t \int_\Omega \{((\mathbf{V}(x, \tau) + \mathbf{v}^{(l_m)}(x, \tau)) \cdot \nabla)\mathbf{v}^{(l_m)}(x, \tau) \cdot \mathbf{\eta}(x, \tau)\} dx d\tau$$

$$+ \int_0^t \int_\Omega (\mathbf{v}^{(l_m)}(x, \tau) \cdot \nabla)\mathbf{V}(x, \tau) \cdot \mathbf{\eta}(x, \tau) dx d\tau$$

$$= \int_0^t \int_\Omega \mathbf{\tilde{g}}^{(l_m)}(x, \tau) \cdot \mathbf{\eta}(x, \tau) dx d\tau, \quad \forall t \in [0, 2\pi], \quad (4.46)$$

for any divergence free $\mathbf{\eta}(\cdot, t) \in W^{1,0}_2(\Omega)$ with $\text{supp}_{x} \mathbf{\eta}(x, t) \subset \Omega^{(l_m)}$. Let us fix $\mathbf{\eta}(x, t)$ with compact support and pass in (4.46) to a limit as $l_m \to \infty$. This gives

$$\int_0^t \int_\Omega \mathbf{v}_\tau(x, \tau) \cdot \mathbf{\eta}(x, \tau) dx d\tau + \nu \int_0^t \int_\Omega \nabla \mathbf{v}(x, \tau) \cdot \nabla \mathbf{\eta}(x, \tau) dx d\tau$$
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\[ + \int_0^t \int_\Omega \left( (\mathbf{V}(x, \tau) + \mathbf{v}(x, \tau)) \cdot \nabla \right) \mathbf{v}(x, \tau) \cdot \mathbf{\eta}(x, \tau) dx d\tau \]

\[ + \int_0^t \int_\Omega (\mathbf{v}(x, \tau) \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{V}(x, \tau) \cdot \mathbf{\eta}(x, \tau) dx d\tau \]

\[ = \int_0^t \int_\Omega \tilde{f}(x, \tau) \cdot \mathbf{\eta}(x, \tau) dx d\tau, \quad \forall t \in [0, 2\pi]. \quad (4.47) \]

Let us show that (4.47) remains valid for any divergence free \( \mathbf{\eta}(x, t) \in W^{1,0}_2(\Omega \times (0, 2\pi)) \). We have

\[
\left| \int_0^t \int_\Omega (\mathbf{v}(x, \tau) \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{v}(x, \tau) \cdot \mathbf{\eta}(x, \tau) dx d\tau \right| \\
\leq \int_0^t \| \mathbf{v}(\cdot, \tau); L_4(\Omega) \| \| \nabla \mathbf{v}(\cdot, \tau); L_2(\Omega) \| \| \mathbf{\eta}(\cdot, \tau); L_4(\Omega) \| d\tau \\
\leq c \int_0^t \| \nabla \mathbf{v}(\cdot, \tau); L_2(\Omega) \|^2 \| \nabla \mathbf{\eta}(\cdot, \tau); L_2(\Omega) \| d\tau \\
\leq c \sup_{t \in [0, 2\pi]} \| \nabla \mathbf{v}(\cdot, \tau); L_2(\Omega) \| \int_0^t (\| \nabla \mathbf{v}(\cdot, \tau); L_2(\Omega) \|^2 + \| \nabla \mathbf{\eta}(\cdot, \tau); L_2(\Omega) \|^2) d\tau. \]

Further,

\[
\int_\Omega |\mathbf{V}(x, t)|^2 |\nabla \mathbf{v}(x, t)|^2 dx \\
\leq \sum_{j=1}^J \sum_{s=0}^\infty \int_{\omega_{js}} |\mathbf{V}(x, t)|^2 |\nabla \mathbf{v}(x, t)|^2 dx \\
+ \int_{\Omega(3)} |\mathbf{V}(x, t)|^2 |\nabla \mathbf{v}(x, t)|^2 dx \\
\leq \sum_{j=1}^J \sum_{s=0}^\infty \sup_{x \in \omega_{js}} |\mathbf{V}(x, t)|^2 \int_{\omega_{js}} |\nabla \mathbf{v}(x, t)|^2 dx \\
+ \sup_{x \in \Omega(3)} |\mathbf{V}(x, t)|^2 \int_{\Omega(3)} |\nabla \mathbf{v}(x, t)|^2 dx \\
\]
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\[
\leq \sum_{j=1}^{J} \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \| \mathbf{V}(\cdot, t); W_2^2(\omega_{js}) \|^2 \int_{\omega_{js}} |\nabla \mathbf{v}(x, t)|^2 dx \\
+ c \| \mathbf{V}(\cdot, t); W_2^2(\Omega_{(3)}) \|^2 \int_{\Omega_{(3)}} |\nabla \mathbf{v}(x, t)|^2 dx \leq Y(t) \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \mathbf{v}(x, t)|^2 dx \quad (4.48)
\]

and

\[
\int_{\Omega} |\mathbf{v}(x, t)|^2 |\nabla \mathbf{v}(x, t)|^2 dx \leq \sum_{j=1}^{J} \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \| \mathbf{v}(\cdot, t); L_4(\omega_{js}) \|^2 \| \nabla \mathbf{V}(\cdot, t); L_4(\omega_{js}) \|^2 \\
+ \| \mathbf{v}(\cdot, t); L_4(\Omega_{(3)}) \|^2 \| \nabla \mathbf{V}(\cdot, t); L_4(\Omega_{(3)}) \|^2 \\
\leq \sum_{j=1}^{J} \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \| \mathbf{V}(\cdot, t); W_2^2(\omega_{js}) \|^2 \int_{\omega_{js}} |\nabla \mathbf{v}(x, t)|^2 dx \\
+ c \| \mathbf{V}(\cdot, t); W_2^2(\Omega_{(3)}) \|^2 \int_{\Omega_{(3)}} |\nabla \mathbf{v}(x, t)|^2 dx \leq cY(t) \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \mathbf{v}(x, t)|^2 dx, \quad (4.49)
\]

where \( Y(t) \) is defined in Lemma 4.3. From (4.48) and (4.49) follow the estimates

\[
\left| \int_{0}^{t} \left( \mathbf{V}(x, \tau) \cdot \nabla \right) \mathbf{v}(x, \tau) dxd\tau \right| + \left| \int_{0}^{t} \left( \mathbf{v}(x, \tau) \cdot \nabla \right) \mathbf{V}(x, \tau) dxd\tau \right| \\
\leq \frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{t} \int_{\Omega} \left( |\mathbf{V}(x, \tau)|^2 |\nabla \mathbf{v}(x, \tau)|^2 + |\mathbf{v}(x, \tau)|^2 |\nabla \mathbf{V}(x, \tau)|^2 \right) dxd\tau \\
+ \int_{0}^{t} \int_{\Omega} |\mathbf{\eta}(x, \tau)|^2 dxd\tau \leq c \int_{0}^{t} Y(t) \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \mathbf{v}(x, \tau)|^2 dxd\tau + \int_{0}^{t} \int_{\Omega} |\mathbf{\eta}(x, \tau)|^2 dxd\tau \\
\leq c \sup_{t \in [0, 2\pi]} \| \nabla \mathbf{v}(\cdot, \tau); L_2(\Omega) \|^2 \int_{0}^{t} Y(\tau) d\tau + \int_{0}^{t} \int_{\Omega} |\mathbf{\eta}(x, \tau)|^2 dxd\tau \\
\leq cA_1 \sup_{t \in [0, 2\pi]} \| \nabla \mathbf{v}(\cdot, \tau); L_2(\Omega) \|^2 + \int_{0}^{t} \int_{\Omega} |\mathbf{\eta}(x, \tau)|^2 dxd\tau.
\]
Thus, for any \( \eta(x, t) \in \tilde{W}^{1,0}_2(\Omega \times (0, 2\pi)) \) and \( v(x, t) \) satisfying (4.45), all integrals in identity (4.47) are finite and, since smooth \( \eta(x, t) \) with compact supports are dense in \( \tilde{W}^{1,0}_2(\Omega \times (0, 2\pi)) \), we conclude that (4.45) is valid for all \( \eta(x, t) \in \tilde{W}^{1,0}_2(\Omega \times (0, 2\pi)) \). From this we get that for almost all \( t \in [0, 2\pi] \) holds the integral inequality

\[
\nu \int_{\Omega} \nabla v(x, \tau) \cdot \nabla \eta(x) dx + \int_{\Omega} (v(x, \tau)) \cdot \nabla)v(x, \tau) \cdot \eta(x) dx \leq \int_{\Omega} H(x, t) \cdot \eta(x) dx, \quad \forall \eta \in W^1_2(\Omega),
\]

where \( H(x, t) = \tilde{f}(x, t) - v_t(x, t) - (v(x, t)) \cdot \nabla)v(x, t) - (v(x, t)) \cdot \nabla)V(x, t) \). Therefore, \( v(x, t) \in \tilde{W}^1_2(\Omega) \) can be considered as a weak solution of steady Navier-Stokes problem with the right-hand side \( H(x, t) \) and zero fluxes. Then for \( v(x, t) \) hold the estimate (see [27], estimate (36) in Chapter V)

\[
\|v(\cdot, t); W^2_2(\omega_{js})\|^2 \leq (\|v(\cdot, t); W^1_2(\hat{\omega}_{js})\|^2 + \|H(\cdot, t); L^2_2(\hat{\omega}_{js})\|^2)
\]

\[
\leq c\left(\|v(\cdot, t); W^2_2(\Omega)\|^6\|v(\cdot, t); W^1_2(\hat{\omega}_{js})\|^2 + \|\tilde{f}(\cdot, t); L^2_2(\hat{\omega}_{js})\|^2
\]

\[
+\|v_t(\cdot, t); L^2_2(\hat{\omega}_{js})\|^2 + \|(v(\cdot, t) \cdot \nabla)v(\cdot, t); L^2_2(\hat{\omega}_{js})\|^2
\]

\[
+\|(v(\cdot, t) \cdot \nabla)V(\cdot, t); L^2_2(\hat{\omega}_{js})\|^2\right).
\]

Summing these relations over \( s \) and using (4.48) and (4.49), we get

\[
\|v(\cdot, t); W^2_2(\Omega_j)\|^2 \leq c\left(\|v(\cdot, t); W^2_2(\Omega)\|^6\|v(\cdot, t); W^1_2(\Omega_j)\|^2
\]

\[
+\|\tilde{f}(\cdot, t); L^2_2(\Omega_j)\|^2 + \|v_t(\cdot, t); L^2_2(\Omega_j)\|^2 + \|(v(\cdot, t) \cdot \nabla)v(\cdot, t); L^2_2(\Omega_j)\|^2
\]

\[
+\|(v(\cdot, t) \cdot \nabla)V(\cdot, t); L^2_2(\Omega_j)\|^2\right) \leq c\left(\|v(\cdot, t); W^2_2(\Omega)\|^6\|v(\cdot, t); W^1_2(\Omega_j)\|^2
\]

\[
+\|\tilde{f}(\cdot, t); L^2_2(\Omega)\|^2 + \|v_t(\cdot, t); L^2_2(\Omega)\|^2 + Y(t)\|\nabla v(\cdot, t); L^2_2(\Omega)\|^2\right).
\]
Integration of the last inequality with respect to $t$ yields in virtue of (4.45)

$$
2\pi \int_0^2 \|v(\cdot, t); W^2_2(\Omega_3)\|^2 dt \leq \left( c \sup_{t \in [0, 2\pi]} \|v(\cdot, t); W^1_2(\Omega)\|^6 \int_0^{2\pi} \|v(\cdot, t); W^1_2(\Omega)\|^2 dt + \int_0^{2\pi} \|\tilde{f}(\cdot, t); L^2(\Omega)\|^2 dt \right) + \int_0^{2\pi} \|v_t(\cdot, t); L^2(\Omega)\|^2 dt.
$$

Analogously, we get that

$$
2\pi \int_0^{2\pi} \|v(\cdot, t); W^2_2(\Omega_3)\|^2 dt \leq c(A^2_1 + B^2_1) + \int_0^{2\pi} \|\tilde{f}(\cdot, t); L^2(\Omega)\|^2 dt.
$$

Thus, $v(x, t) \in W^{2, 1}_2(\Omega \times (0, 2\pi))$ and from (4.48) we conclude that equations (4.8) are satisfied almost everywhere in $\Omega \times (0, 2\pi)$. By Lemma 3.4, $v(x, t)$ obeys estimate (4.26), i. e.,

$$
\int_0^{2\pi} \left( \|E^{(k)}_\beta v(\cdot, \tau); L^2(\Omega)\|^2 + \|E^{(k)}_\beta v(\cdot, \tau); W^2_2(\Omega)\|^2 \right) d\tau + \int_0^{2\pi} \|E^{(k)}_\beta \nabla \tilde{p}(\cdot, \tau); L^2(\Omega)\|^2 \leq cB^2_2.
$$

The constant $c$ is independent of $k$. Since $\hat{f}(x, t) \in L_{2, \beta}(\Omega \times (0, 2\pi))$,

$$
\int_0^{2\pi} \|E^{(k)}_\beta \hat{f}(\cdot, t); L^2(\Omega)\|^2 dt \leq \|\hat{f}; L_{2, \beta}(\Omega \times (0, 2\pi))\|^2 = A_3.
$$
and
\[
\int_0^{2\pi} \left( \| E(k) \mathbf{v}(\cdot, \tau); L_2(\Omega) \|^2 + \| E(k) \mathbf{v}(\cdot, \tau); W_2^2(\Omega) \|^2 \right) d\tau
\]
\[
+ \int_0^{2\pi} \| E(k) \nabla \tilde{p}(\cdot, \tau); L_2(\Omega) \|^2 \leq cB_3,
\]
(4.50)

with \( B_3 = \lim_{k \to \infty} B_2(k) \). Now we can let \( k \to \infty \) in (4.44) and, thus, we obtain (4.43). The theorem is proved.
Three-dimensional time periodic Navier-Stokes problem

5.1 Formulation of the problem

In this chapter we shall study (4.1) problem in three-dimensional domain $\Omega \in \mathbb{R}^3$. Note that now $\sigma_j \in \mathbb{R}^2$ is a bounded domain.

Assume that external force $f(x,t)$ is represented in the form

$$f(x,t) = \sum_{j=1}^{J} \zeta(\tau) f^{(j)}(x^{(j)}, t) + \hat{f}(x,t), \quad (5.1)$$

where $f^{(j)}(x^{(j)}, t) = (f^{(j)}_1(x^{(j)}, t), f^{(j)}_2(x^{(j)}, t), f^{(j)}_3(x^{(j)}, t))$, $\zeta(\tau)$ is a smooth cut-off function with $\zeta(\tau) = 0$, for $\tau \leq 1$ and $\zeta(\tau) = 1$, for $\tau \geq 2$, $f^{(j)}(x,t) \in W^{2,1}_2(\sigma_j \times (0,2\pi))$; $\hat{f}(x,t) \in W^{2,1}_2(\Omega \times (0,2\pi))$. Moreover, let $F_j(t) \in W^{1,2}_2(0,2\pi)$ and also $F_j(0) = F_j(2\pi)$, $j = 1, \ldots, J$. Then in each cylinder $\prod_j = \sigma_j \times (0,2\pi)$ there exits a generalized Poiseuille solution $(U^{(j)}(x^{(j)}, t), P^{(j)}(x^{(j)}, t))$ having the form (3.34) and satisfying estimate (3.36). Let us define $V(x,t)$ by formula (3.39) while $U(x,t)$ and $P(x,t)$ are given by (3.37). Consider Navier-Stokes problem (4.1). Looking for the solution
we obtain for \((v(x, t), \tilde{p}(x, t))\) three-dimensional problem

\[
\begin{align*}
\mathbf{v}_t(x, t) - \nu \Delta \mathbf{v}(x, t) + (\mathbf{v}(x, t) \cdot \nabla)\mathbf{v}(x, t) + (\mathbf{V}(x, t) \cdot \nabla)\mathbf{v}(x, t) \\
+ (\mathbf{v}(x, t) \cdot \nabla)\mathbf{V}(x, t) + \nabla \tilde{p}(x, t) &= \tilde{f}(x, t), \\
\text{div} \mathbf{v} &= 0,
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\mathbf{v}(x, t)|_{\partial \Omega} = 0, \quad (5.3)
\]

\[
\mathbf{v}(x, 0) = \mathbf{v}(x, 2\pi),
\]

\[
\int_{\sigma_j} \mathbf{v}(x, t) \cdot \mathbf{n}(x) ds = 0, \quad j = 1, \ldots, J,
\]

with \(\tilde{f}(x, t) = \hat{f}(x, t) + f_{(1)}(x, t) + f_{(2)}(x, t),\)

\[
f_{(1)}(x, t) = (f^{(1)'}(x, t), f_{(1)}^{(1)}(x, t)),
\]

\[
f_{(1)}'(x, t) = \sum_{j=1}^J \left( \nu \zeta''(x_3^{(j)}) U_3^{(j)}(x^{(j)}'), t \right) \\
- \zeta'(x_3^{(j)}) \zeta'(x_3^{(j)}) U_3^{(j)}(x^{(j)}', t) U_3^{(j)}(x^{(j)}', t) \\
- \zeta'(x_3^{(j)}) (\zeta'(x_3^{(j)}) - 1)(U_3^{(j)}(x^{(j)}', t) \cdot \nabla')U_3^{(j)}(x^{(j)}', t)
\]

\[
f_{(2)}(x, t) = \sum_{j=1}^J \left( \nu \zeta''(x_3^{(j)}) U_3^{(j)}(x^{(j)}', t) \\
- \zeta'(x_3^{(j)}) \zeta'(x_3^{(j)}) [U_3^{(j)}(x^{(j)}', t)]^2 \\
- \zeta'(x_3^{(j)}) (\zeta'(x_3^{(j)}) - 1)(U_3^{(j)}(x^{(j)}', t) \cdot \nabla')U_3^{(j)}(x^{(j)}', t)
\]
Using Sobolev embedding inequalities, estimate (3.36) for $U^{(j)}(x^{(j)}, t)$ and estimate (3.38) for $W(x, t)$, we obtain the inequalities

\[
\int_0^t \int_\Omega |f_{(1)}(x, \tau)|^2 \, dx \, d\tau \leq c \sum_{j=1}^J \int_0^t \int_{\sigma_j} (|U^{(j)}(x^{(j)}, \tau)|^2 + |U^{(j)}(x^{(j)}, \tau)|^4 \\
+ |U^{(j)}(x^{(j)}, \tau)|^2 |\nabla U^{(j)}(x^{(j)}, \tau)|^2 + |q^{(j)}(\tau)|^2) \, dx \, d\tau
\]

\[
\leq c \sum_{j=1}^J \int_0^t \int_{\sigma_j} (|U^{(j)}(x^{(j)}, \tau)|^2 + |q^{(j)}(\tau)|^2) \, dx \, d\tau
\]

\[
+ c \sum_{j=1}^J \sup_{x^{(j)} \in \sigma_j} (|U^{(j)}(x^{(j)}, \tau)|^2) \||U^{(j)}(\cdot, \tau); W^1_2(\sigma_j)|^2 \, d\tau
\]

\[
\leq (E_0 + E_1) + \sum_{j=1}^J \sup_{\tau \in [0,2\pi]} (\|U^{(j)}(\cdot, \tau); W^1_2(\sigma_j)|^2 \int_0^t \||U^{(j)}(\cdot, \tau); W^2_2(\sigma_j)|^2 \, d\tau
\]

\[
\leq c(E_0 + E_1)(1 + E_0 + E_1) := cE_2,
\]

\[
\int_0^t \int_\Omega |f_{(2)}(x, \tau)|^2 \, dx \, d\tau \leq c \int_0^t \int_{\Omega(3)} (|W_t(x, \tau)|^2 + |\Delta W(x, \tau)|^2
\]

\[
+ (|W(x, \tau)|^2 + |U(x, \tau)|^2) |\nabla W(x, \tau)|^2 + |W(x, \tau)|^2 |\nabla U(x, \tau)|^2 \) \, dx \, d\tau
\]

\[
\leq cE_1 + c \sup_{\tau \in [0,2\pi]} \left( \|W(\cdot, \tau); W^1_2(\Omega(3))\| + \sum_{j=1}^J \|U^{(j)}(\cdot, t); W^1_2(\sigma_j)|^2 \right)
\]

\[
\leq cE_1 + c \sup_{\tau \in [0,2\pi]} \left[ \||W(\cdot, t); W^1_2(\Omega(3))\| + \sum_{j=1}^J \|U^{(j)}(\cdot, t); W^1_2(\sigma_j)|^2 \right]
\]
\[ \times \int_0^t \left( \| \mathbf{W}(\cdot, \tau); W^2_2(\Omega(\mathbf{s})) \|^2 + \sum_{j=1}^J \| U^{(j)}(\cdot, \tau); W^2_2(\sigma_j) \|^2 \right) \, d\tau \leq cE_2. \quad (5.4) \]

We denote \( E_0 = \sum_{j=1}^J E_0^{(j)} \), where \( E_0^{(j)} = \| U^{(j)}; W^{2,1}_2(\sigma \times (0, 2\pi)) \|^2 \),

\[ E_1 = \sum_{j=1}^J \left( \| F_j; W^2_2(0, 2\pi) \|^2 + \| f^{(j)}_j; W^2_2(\sigma_j \times (0, 2\pi)) \|^2 \). \]

### 5.2 Estimates of nonlinear terms

**Lemma 5.1.** Let \( \mathbf{v}(x, t) \in W^{2,1}_{2,\beta}(\Omega \times (0, 2\pi)) \), \( \beta_j \geq 0, j = 1, \ldots, J \). Then \((\mathbf{v}(x, t) \cdot \nabla)\mathbf{v}(x, t) \in L_{2,\beta}(\Omega \times (0, 2\pi))\) and

\[ \int_0^t \| (\mathbf{v}(\cdot, \tau) \cdot \nabla)\mathbf{v}(\cdot, \tau); L_{2,\beta}(\Omega) \|^2 \, d\tau \]

\[ \leq c \| \mathbf{v}; W^{2,1}_{2,\beta}(\Omega \times (0, t)) \|^4, \quad \forall t \in [0, 2\pi], \quad (5.5) \]

where the constant \( c \) is independent of \( t \in [0, 2\pi] \).

**Lemma 5.2.** Let \( \mathbf{u}(x, t), \mathbf{v}(x, t) \in W^{2,1}_{2,\beta}(\Omega \times (0, 2\pi)), \quad \beta_j \geq 0, \quad j = 1, \ldots, J \). Then \((\mathbf{u}(x, t) \cdot \nabla)\mathbf{v}(x, t) \in L_{2,\beta}(\Omega \times (0, 2\pi)), (\mathbf{v}(x, t) \cdot \nabla)\mathbf{u}(x, t) \in L_{2,\beta}(\Omega \times (0, 2\pi))\) there holds the estimates

\[ \int_0^t \| (\mathbf{u}(\cdot, \tau) \cdot \nabla)\mathbf{v}(\cdot, \tau); L_{2,\beta}(\Omega) \|^2 \, d\tau \leq c \int_0^t \| \mathbf{u}; W^{2,1}_{2,\beta}(\Omega \times (0, \tau)) \|^2 \, d\tau \]

\[ + \varepsilon c \| \mathbf{v}; W^{2,1}_{2,\beta}(\Omega \times (0, t)) \|^4 \| \mathbf{u}; W^{2,1}_{2,\beta}(\Omega \times (0, t)) \|^2, \quad (5.6) \]

and

\[ \int_0^t \| (\mathbf{u}(\cdot, \tau) \cdot \nabla)\mathbf{v}(\cdot, \tau); L_{2,\beta}(\Omega) \|^2 \, d\tau \leq c \int_0^t \| \mathbf{v}; W^{2,1}_{2,\beta}(\Omega \times (0, \tau)) \|^2 \, d\tau \]

\[ + \varepsilon c \| \mathbf{v}; W^{2,1}_{2,\beta}(\Omega \times (0, t)) \|^4 \| \mathbf{u}; W^{2,1}_{2,\beta}(\Omega \times (0, t)) \|^2, \quad (5.7) \]

Constants in (5.6), (5.7) are independent of \( t \in [0, 2\pi] \).
5.3 Solvability of (5.3) problem

**Lemma 5.3.** Let \( v(x,t) \in W^{2,1}_2(\Omega \times (0,2\pi)) \). Then \( (V(x,t) \cdot \nabla)v(x,t) \in L_{2,\beta}(\Omega \times (0,2\pi)) \), \( (v(x,t) \cdot \nabla)V(x,t) \in L_{2,\beta}(\Omega \times (0,2\pi)) \) and

\[
\int_0^t \| (V(\cdot, \tau) \cdot \nabla)v(\cdot, \tau); L_{2,\beta}(\Omega) \|^2 d\tau + \int_0^t \| (v(\cdot, \tau) \cdot \nabla)V(\cdot, \tau); L_{2,\beta}(\Omega) \|^2 d\tau \leq c(E_0 + E_1) \| v; W^{2,1}_2(\Omega \times (0,t)) \|^2, \quad \forall t \in [0,2\pi],
\]

where the constant \( c \) is independent of \( t \in [0,2\pi] \).

**Lemma 5.4.** Let \( v(x,t) \in W^{2,1}_2(\Omega \times (0,2\pi)) \), \( t \in [0,2\pi] \). Then there holds the estimate

\[
\int_0^t \| (V(\cdot, \tau) \cdot \nabla)v(\cdot, \tau); L_{2,\beta}(\Omega) \|^2 d\tau + \int_0^t \| (v(\cdot, \tau) \cdot \nabla)V(\cdot, \tau); L_{2,\beta}(\Omega) \|^2 d\tau \leq \varepsilon(E_0^2 + E_1^2) \| v; W^{2,1}_2(\Omega \times (0,t)) \|^2 + c_\varepsilon \int_0^t \| v; W^{2,1}_2(\Omega \times (0,\tau)) \|^2 d\tau,
\]

where the constant \( c \) is independent of \( t \in [0,2\pi] \).

The proof of lemmas 5.2–5.4 could be find in [66].

### 5.3 Solvability of (5.3) problem

Now we prove the main result of the chapter.

**Theorem 5.1.** Let \( \partial \Omega \in C^2, \ F_j(t) \in W^1_2(0,2\pi) \), and let the external force \( f(x, t) \) is represented in the form (5.1) with

\[ \hat{f}(x,t) \in L_{2,\beta}(\Omega \times (0,2\pi)), \ f^{(j)}(x',t) \in L_2(\sigma_j \times (0,2\pi)), \ \beta_j \geq 0, \ j = 1, \ldots, J. \]

Moreover, assume that \( F_j(0) = F_j(2\pi) \) and that the number \( \gamma_\ast \) in inequality (1.3) for the weight function \( E_{\beta}(x) \) is sufficiently small. If

\[
c_2(E_0 + E_1) < 1,
\]

\[
4c_1c_2(E_2 + E_3) < \left(1 - c_2(E_0 + E_1)\right)^2,
\]

(5.10)
where $E_0, E_1, E_2$ are defined in section 5.1,

$$E_3 = \|\tilde{f}, \mathcal{L}_{2,\beta}(\Omega \times (0, 2\pi))\|^2,$$

and $c_1, c_2$ are absolute constants defined below, then the (5.3) problem admits at least one solution $v(x,t) \in W^{2,1}_2(\Omega \times (0, 2\pi))$, $\nabla \tilde{p}(x,t) \in L_{2,\beta}(\Omega \times (0, 2\pi))$.

There holds the estimate

$$\|v; W^{2,1}_2(\Omega \times (0, 2\pi))\|^2 + \|\nabla \tilde{p} \in L_{2,\beta}(\Omega \times (0, 2))\|^2 \leq cr_0,$$

where

$$r_0 = \frac{2\alpha_0}{1 - \alpha_1 + \sqrt{(1 - \alpha_1)^2 - 4\alpha_0\alpha_2}},$$

$$\alpha_0 = c_1(E_0 + E_1 + E_2 + E_3), \quad \alpha_1 = c_2(E_0 + E_1), \quad \alpha_2 = c_2.$$

**Proof.** The existence of the solution to problem (5.3) we prove using method of successive approximations. Let us consider problem (5.3) as linear time periodic Stokes problem

$$\begin{cases}
    v_t(x,t) - \nu \Delta v(x,t) + \nabla \tilde{p}(x,t) = g(x,t; v(x,t)), \\
    \text{div} v(x,t) = 0, \\
    v(x,t)|_{\partial \Omega} = 0, \quad v(x,0) = v(x,2\pi), \\
    \int_{\sigma_j} v(x,t) \cdot n(x) ds = 0, \quad j = 1, ..., J,
\end{cases}$$

(5.13)

with

$$g(x,t; v(x,t)) = \tilde{f}(x,t) - (v(x,t) \cdot \nabla) v(x,t) - (V(x,t) \cdot \nabla) v(x,t) - (v(x,t) \cdot \nabla) V(x,t).$$

Let us put $v^{(0)}(x,t) = 0$, $\tilde{p}^{(0)}(x,t) = 0$, and define the successive approxima-
Therefore, all approximations recurrently as solutions of linear problems
\[
\begin{cases}
   v^{(l+1)}(x,t) - \nu \Delta v^{(l+1)}(x,t) + \nabla p^{(l+1)}(x,t) = g(x,t; v^{(l)}(x,t)), \\
   \text{div} v^{(l+1)}(x,t) = 0, \\
   v^{(l+1)}(x,t)|_{\partial \Omega} = 0, \quad v^{(l+1)}(x,0) = v^{(l+1)}(x,2\pi), \\
   \int_{\sigma_j} v^{(l+1)}(x,t) \cdot n(x) ds = 0, \quad j = 1, \ldots, J.
\end{cases}
\] (5.14)

In virtue of (5.5), (5.6), (5.7), (5.8), (5.9) the right-hand side \( g(x,t; v^{(l)}(x,t)) \) admits the estimate
\[
\|g(x,t; v^{(l)}(x,t)) \|_{L_{2,\beta}(\Omega \times (0, 2\pi))}^2 \leq c \|P; L_{2,\beta}(\Omega \times (0, 2\pi))\|^2 + c \left( \| v^{(l)}; W_{2,\beta}^2(\Omega \times (0, 2\pi))\|^4 + (E_0 + E_1) \| v^{(l)}; W_{2,\beta}^2(\Omega \times (0, 2\pi))\|^2 \right)
\leq c \left( \|f; L_{2,\beta}(\Omega \times (0, 2\pi))\|^2 + \|f_{(1)}; L_{2,\beta}(\Omega \times (0, 2\pi))\|^2 \right)
+ c \left( \|f_{(2)}; L_{2,\beta}(\Omega \times (0, 2\pi))\|^2 \right) + c \| v^{(l)}; W_{2,\beta}^2(\Omega \times (0, 2\pi))\|^4
+ c (E_0 + E_1) \| v^{(l)}; W_{2,\beta}^2(\Omega \times (0, 2\pi))\|^2 \leq c (E_0 + E_1 + E_2 + E_3)
+ c \left( \| v^{(l)}; W_{2,\beta}^2(\Omega \times (0, 2\pi))\|^4 + (E_0 + E_1) \| v^{(l)}; W_{2,\beta}^2(\Omega \times (0, 2\pi))\|^2 \right)
\]

Therefore, all approximations \( (v^{(l+1)}(x,t), p^{(l+1)}(x,t)) \) are well defined and satisfy the estimates
\[
\|v^{(l+1)}(x,t); W_{2,\beta}^2(\Omega \times (0, 2\pi))\|^2 + \|\nabla p^{(l+1)}; L_{2,\beta}(\Omega \times (0, 2\pi))\|^2 \leq c \|g;'(\cdot, v^{(l)}); L_{2,\beta}(\Omega \times (0, 2\pi))\|^2
\leq c_1 (E_0 + E_1 + E_2 + E_3) + c_2 \left( \| v^{(l)}; W_{2,\beta}^2(\Omega \times (0, 2\pi))\|^4 + \right.
\]
\[ (E_0 + E_1)\|v^{(l)}; W_{2,\beta}^{2,1}(\Omega \times (0, 2\pi))\|^2 \]

\[ = \alpha_0 + \alpha_1\|v^{(l)}; W_{2,\beta}^{2,1}(\Omega \times (0, 2\pi))\|^2 + \alpha_2\|v^{(l)}; W_{2,\beta}^{2,1}(\Omega \times (0, 2\pi))\|^4. \quad (5.15) \]

If

\[ \alpha_1 < 1, \quad 4\alpha_0\alpha_2 < (1 - \alpha_1)^2, \quad (5.16) \]

the quadratic equation \( \alpha_2 y^2 + (\alpha_1 - 1)y + \alpha_0 = 0 \) has positive roots minimal \( r_0 \) of which is given by formula (5.12). From (5.15), (5.16) it follows that, if \( \|v^{(l)}; W_{2,\beta}^{2,1}(\Omega \times (0, 2\pi))\|^2 \leq r_0 \), then also

\[ \|v^{(l+1)}(x, t); W_{2,\beta}^{2,1}(\Omega \times (0, 2\pi))\|^2 + \|\nabla p^{(l+1)}; L_{2,\beta}(\Omega \times (0, 2\pi))\|^2 \leq r_0. \quad (5.17) \]

Since obviously \( \|v^{(l)}; W_{2,\beta}^{2,1}(\Omega \times (0, 2\pi))\|^2 \leq r_0 \), we conclude that (5.17) is valid for \( \forall l \geq 0 \). Let us show now that the sequence \( \{v^{(l+1)}(x, t), p^{(l+1)}(x, t)\} \) converges to the solution \( (v(x, t), p(x, t)) \) of problem (5.3). The differences

\[ w^{(l)}(x, t) = v^{(l+1)}(x, t) - v^{(l)}(x, t), \quad q^{(l)}(x, t) = \tilde{p}^{(l+1)}(x, t) - \tilde{p}^{(l)}(x, t) \]

are the solutions of the following linear problems

\[ \begin{cases} w^{(l)}_t(x, t) - \nu \Delta w^{(l)}(x, t) + \nabla q^{(l)}(x, t) = g(x, t; v^{(l+1)}) - g(x, t; v^{(l)}) \\ \quad \quad - \nabla \cdot (v^{(l)}(x, t) \cdot \nabla)V(x, t) - (w^{(l)}(x, t) \cdot \nabla)V(x, t) \\ \quad \quad - (v(x, t) \cdot \nabla)V(x, t) - (w^{(l)}(x, t) \cdot \nabla)v^{(l)}(x, t) - (v^{(l)}(x, t) \cdot \nabla)w^{(l)}(x, t), \end{cases} \]

\[ \text{div} w(x, t) = 0, \]

\[ w(x, t)|_{\partial \Omega} = 0, \]

\[ w(x, 0) = w(x, 2\pi), \]

\[ \int_{\sigma_j} w(x, t) \cdot n(x) ds = 0, \quad j = 1, \ldots, J. \]
Let \( X^{(l+1)}(t) = \|w^{(l+1)}; W_{2,\beta}^1(\Omega \times (0, t))\|^2 + \|\nabla q^{(l+1)}; L_{2,\beta}(\Omega \times (0, t))\|^2 \).

Using Lemmas 5.2, 5.4 and estimate (5.17), we derive the inequality
\[
X^{(l+1)}(t) = c\|g(\cdot; v^{(l+1)}) - g(\cdot; v^{(l)}); L_{2,\beta}(\Omega \times (0, t))\|^2 \\
\leq c \left( \| (\mathbf{V} \cdot \nabla)w^{(l)}; L_{2,\beta}(\Omega \times (0, t))\|^2 + \| (\mathbf{V} \cdot \nabla)\mathbf{V}; L_{2,\beta}(\Omega \times (0, t))\|^2 \\
+ \| (w^{(l)} \cdot \nabla)\mathbf{v}^{(l)}; L_{2,\beta}(\Omega \times (0, t))\|^2 + \| (w^{(l)} \cdot \nabla)(w^{(l)}); L_{2,\beta}(\Omega \times (0, t))\|^2 \right) \\
\leq c \left( E_0^2 + E_1^2 + \|v^{(l)}; W_{2,\beta}^1(\Omega \times (0, 2\pi))\|^4 \right) \|w^{(l)}; W_{2,\beta}^1(\Omega \times (0, t))\|^2 \\
+ c \int_0^t \|w^{(l)}; W_{2,\beta}^1(\Omega \times (0, \tau))\|^2 d\tau \leq c \left( E_0^2 + E_1^2 + r_0^2 \right) \|w^{(l)}; W_{2,\beta}^1(\Omega \times (0, t))\|^2 \\
+ c \int_0^t \|w^{(l)}; W_{2,\beta}^1(\Omega \times (0, \tau))\|^2 d\tau.
\]

(5.18)

Let us fix \( \varepsilon = \frac{1}{2c_* (E_0^2 + E_1^2 + r_0^2)} \) and sum relations (5.18) by \( l \) from 1 to \( M \).

This yields
\[
\sum_{m=2}^{M+1} X^{(l)}(t) \leq \frac{1}{2} \sum_{m=1}^M X^{(l)}(t) + c \int_0^t \sum_{m=1}^M X^{(l)}(t) d\tau.
\]

(5.19)

Setting \( Y^{(M)}(t) = \sum_{m=1}^M X^{(l)}(t) \), from (5.19) we get
\[
Y^{(M)}(t) \leq 2X^{(1)}(t) + c \int_0^t Y^{(M)}(\tau) d\tau
\]

and, by Gronwall inequality,
\[
Y^{(M)}(t) \leq 2e^{ct} X^{(1)}(t).
\]
Therefore, the series \( \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} X^{(1)}(t) \) converges for any finite \( t \) and, consequently, \( \{v^{(l+1)}(x,t), \nabla \tilde{p}^{(l+1)}(x,t)\} \) converges in the norm of the space \( \mathcal{W}^{2,1}_2(\Omega \times (0, 2\pi)) \times L^2_2(\Omega \times (0, 2\pi)) \) to the solution \( (v(x,t), \tilde{p}(x,t)) \) of problem (5.3). Obviously, for \( (v(x,t), \tilde{p}(x,t)) \) remains valid inequality (5.19). The theorem is proved.
General conclusions

In the dissertation, the following results are established.

1. The existence and uniqueness of a non-stationary Poiseuille solution to Navier-Stokes system in a straight cylinder is proved.

2. The existence and uniqueness of a solution to the time periodic Stokes problem in domains with cylindrical outlets to infinity is proved in weighted Sobolev spaces.

3. The existence of a solution for the two-dimensional time periodic Navier-Stokes problem in domains with cylindrical outlets to infinity is proved in weighted Sobolev spaces. The results were obtained for “small” fluxes. However, the external forces could be “large”.

4. The existence of a solution for the three-dimensional time periodic Navier-Stokes problem in domains with cylindrical outlets to infinity is proved in weighted Sobolev spaces. The results were obtained for all “small” data, including both fluxes and external forces.

5. It is shown that the obtained solutions in every outlet to infinity asymptotically tend as $|x| \to \infty$ to the corresponding time periodic Poiseuille solution.

6. From the obtained results, we conclude that in the case of “rapidly” vanishing external forces, the time periodic solution of Navier–Stokes equations
in outlets to infinity are almost coincident for a large $|x|$ with a corresponding time periodic Poiseuille solution. In particular, if there is no external force, then the time periodic solution of the Navier–Stokes problem exponentially tends towards the time periodic Poiseuille flow. Thus, in studying viscous fluid flow problems in complicated systems of pipes one can get the information for large distances solving much easier linear parabolic problems describing the time periodic Poiseuille flows in pipes.
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